

(12) United States Patent

Pascual et al.

(54) TOLERIZING AGENTS

- (75) Inventors: David W. Pascual, Bozeman, MT (US); Kohtaro Fujihashi, Hoover, AL (US); Massimo Maddaloni, Bozeman, MT (US)
- Assignees: Montana State University, Bozeman, (73) MT (US); UAB Research Foundation, Birmingham, AL (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- 12/294,380 (21) Appl. No.:
- (22) PCT Filed: Mar. 27, 2007
- (86) PCT No.: PCT/US2007/065278 § 371 (c)(1), (2), (4) Date: Sep. 24, 2008
- (87) PCT Pub. No.: WO2007/112410 PCT Pub. Date: Oct. 4, 2007

Prior Publication Data (65)

US 2009/0169578 A1 Jul. 2, 2009

Related U.S. Application Data

- (60) Provisional application No. 60/786,446, filed on Mar. 27, 2006.
- (51) Int. Cl.

A61K 39/15	(2006.01)
A61K 39/00	(2006.01)
C07K 14/14	(2006.01)
C07K 14/00	(2006.01)

- (52) U.S. Cl. 424/215.1; 424/184.1; 424/192.1; 530/350
- Field of Classification Search None (58)See application file for complete search history.

(56)**References** Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,072,041 A * 6/2000 Davis et al. 530/391.1

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

- WO 2006/052668 WO 5/2006
- WO WO 2006/078567 7/2006

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Allan et al. "Rat intestinal M cells contain acidic endosomallysosomal compartments and express class II major histocompatibility complex determinants," Gastroenterology, 104:698-708, 1993.

Barton et al., "Utilization of sialic acid as a coreceptor enhances reovirus attachment by multistep adhesion strengthening," J Biol Chem, 276:2200-2211, 2000.

Chappell et al., "Mutations in type 3 reovirus that determine binding to sialic acid are contained in the fibrous tail domain of viral attachment protein 01," J. Virol, 71:1834, 1997.

US 7,910,113 B2 (10) **Patent No.:** (45) **Date of Patent:** Mar. 22, 2011

Chen et al., "Peripheral deletion of antigen-reactive T cells in oral tolerance," Nature, 376:177-180, 1995.

Chen et al., "Regulatory T cell clones induced by oral tolerance: suppression of autoimmune encephalomyelitis," Science, 265:1237-1240. 1994.

Collins et al., "Mucosal tolerance to a bacterial superantigen indicates a novel pathway to prevent toxic shock," Infect Immun, 70:2282-2287, 2002.

Cottrez and Groux, "Specialization in tolerance: innate CD4+ CD25+ versus acquired Tr1 and Th3 regulatory T cells," Transplantation, 77:S12-15, 2004.

Dieckmann et al., "Human CD4+ CD25+ regulatory, contact-dependent T cells induce interleukin 10-producing, contact-independent type 1-like regulatory T cells [corrected]," J Exp Med, 196:247-253, 2002

Ermak et al., "Uptake and transport of copolymer biodegradable microspheres by rabbit Peyer's patch M cells," Cell Tissue Res, 279:433-436, 1995.

Friedman and Weiner, "Induction of anergy or active suppression following oral tolerance is determined by antigen dosage," Proc Natl Acad Sci (USA), 91:6688-6692, 1994.

Frisoni et al., "Nuclear Autoantigen Translocation and Autoantibody Opsonization Lead to Increased Dendritic Cell Phagocytosis and Presentation of Nuclear Antigens: A Novel Pathogenic Pathway for Autoimmunity?," *J Immunology*, 175:2692-2701, 2005. Fujihashi et al., "A revisit of mucosal IgA immunity and oral toler-

ance," Acta Odontol Scand, 59:301-308, 2001.

Fujihashi et al., "g/d T cell-deficient mice have impaired mucosal immunoglobulin A responses," J Exp Med, 183:1929-1935, 1996.

Fujihashi et al., "Peyer's patches are required for oral tolerance to proteins," Proc Natl Acad Sci (USA), 98:3310-3315, 2001.

Garside et al., "Oral tolerance in disease," *Gut*, 44:137-142, 1999. Gebert et al., "M cells in Peyer's patches of the intestine," *Int Rev* Cytol, 167:91-159, 1996.

Groux et al., "A CD4+ T-cell subset inhibits antigen-specific T-cell responses and prevents colitis," Nature, 389:737-742, 1997. Gutgemann et al., "Induction of rapid T cell activation and tolerance by systemic presentation of an orally administered antigen," Immunity, 8:667-673, 1998.

Hagiwara et al., "Protective mucosal immunity in aging is associated with functional CD4+ T cells in nasopharyngeal-associated lymphoreticular tissue," *J Immunol*, 170:1754-1762, 2003.

Hamada et al., "Identification of multiple isolated lymphoid follicles on the antimesenteric wall of the mouse small intestine," JImmunol, 168:57-64, 2002.

Holt, "Mucosal immunity in relation to the development of oral tolerance/sensitization," Allergy, 53:16-19, 1998.

Jang et al., "Intestinal villous M cells: an antigen entry site in the mucosal epithelium," Proc Natl Acad Sci (USA), 101:6110-6115, 2004.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner - Zachariah Lucas

Assistant Examiner — Michelle Horning

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm - Klarquist Sparkman, LLP

(57)ABSTRACT

Described herein is the development of fusion proteins useful for inducing tolerance in a subject. In particular embodiments, the tolerizing agents are useful for influence autoimmune, inflammatory, and/or allergic reactions. Example tolerizing fusion proteins contain a targeting portion (which delivers the fusion protein) and a toleragen or allergen or other antigen to which tolerance is desired in a subject. In particular examples, it is demonstrated that a $p\sigma 1$ fusion protein, when administered orally, facilitates systemic and mucosal tolerance. Also described is the nasal delivery of fusion proteins, for instance for restoring immunogenicity.

15 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Jones et al., "Salmonella typhimurium initiates murine infection by penetrating and destroying the specialized epithelial M cells of the Peyer's patches," *J Exp Med*, 180:15-23, 1994. Kataoka et al., "Nasal Flt3 ligand cDNA elicits CD11c+ CD8+

Kataoka et al., "Nasal Flt3 ligand cDNA elicits CD11c+ CD8+ dendritic cells for enhanced mucosal immunity," *J Immunol*, 172:3612-3619, 2004.

Kato et al., "Lack of oral tolerance in aging is due to sequential loss of Peyer's patch cell interactions," *Int Immunol*, 15:145-158, 2003. Kato et al., "Oral tolerance revisited: prior oral tolerization abrogates cholera toxin-induced mucosal IgA responses," *J Immunol*, 166:3114-3121, 2001.

Koenen et al., "A novel bispecific antihuman CD40/CD86 fusion protein with t-cell tolerizing potential," *Transplantation*, 78(10):1429-1438, 2004.

Krug et al., "TLR9-dependent recognition of MCMV by IPC and DC generates coordinated cytokine responses that activate antiviral NK cell function," *Immunity*, 21:107-119, 2004.

MacDonald, "T cell immunity to oral allergens," Curr Opin Immunol, 10:620-627, 1998.

Maddaloni et al., "Mucosal Vaccine Targeting Improves Onset of Mucosal and Systemic Immunity to Botulinum Neurotoxin A¹," J Immunology, 177(8):5524-5532, 2006.

Mayer, "Oral tolerance: new approaches, new problems," *Clin Immunol*, 94:1-8, 2000.

Melamed and Friedman, "Direct evidence for anergy in T lymphocytes tolerized by oral administration of ovalbumin," *Eur J Immunol*, 23:935-942, 1993.

Monfardini et al., "Adoptive protection from experimental myasthenia gravis with T cells from mice treated nasally with acetylcholine receptor epitopes," *J Neuroimmunol*, 123:123-134, 2002. Mowat, "Anatomical basis of tolerance and immunity to intestinal antigens," *Nat Rev Immunol*, 3:331-341, 2003.

Nagler-Anderson and Shi, "Peripheral nonresponsiveness to orally administered soluble protein antigens," *Crit Rev Immunol*, 21:121-131, 2001.

Nagler-Anderson et al., "Control freaks: immune regulatory cells," Nat Immunol, 5:119-122, 2004.

Neutra et al., "Epithelial M cells: gateways for mucosal infection and immunization," *Cell*, 86:345-348, 1996.

Ochoa-Reparaz et al., "Regulatory T cell vaccination without autoantigen protects against experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis," *J Immunol*, 178(3):1791-1799, 2007.

Rubas et al., "Incorporation of the reovirus M cell attachment protein into small unilamellar vesicles: incorporation efficiency and binding capability to L929 cells in vitro," *J Microencapsul*, 7:385-395, 1990.

Spahn et al., "Mesenteric lymph nodes are critical for the induction of high-dose oral tolerance in the absence of Peyer's patches," *Eur J Immunol*, 32:1109-1113, 2002.

Strobel and Mowat, "Immune responses to dietary antigens: oral tolerance," *Immunol Today*, 19:173-181, 1998.

Strober et al., "Oral tolerance," *J Clin Immunol*, 18:1-30, 1998. Sun et al., "Cholera toxin B subunit: an efficient transmucosal carrierdelivery system for induction of peripheral immunological tolerance," *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (USA), 91:10795-10799, 1994.

Tomasi, "Oral tolerance," Transplantation, 29:353-356, 1980.

Tsuji et al., "Antigen-specific, CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T cell clones induced in Peyer's patches," *Int Immunol*, 15:525-534, 2003.

Viney, et al., "Expanding dendritic cells in vivo enhances the induction of oral tolerance," *J Immunol*, 160:5815-5825, 1998.

Wardrop and Whitacre, "Oral tolerance in the treatment of inflammatory autoimmune diseases," *Inflamm Res*, 48:106-119, 1999.

Weiner et al., "Oral tolerance: immunologic mechanisms and treatment of animal and human organ-specific autoimmune diseases by oral administration of autoantigens," *Annu Rev Immunol*, 12:809-837, 1994.

Weiner, "Induction and mechanism of action of transforming growth factor-beta-secreting Th3 regulatory cells," *Immunol Rev*, 182:207-214, 2001.

Weiner, "Oral tolerance: immune mechanisms and the generation of Th3-type TGF—secreting regulatory cells," *Microbes & Infection*, 3:947-954, 2001.

Whitacre et al., "Oral tolerance in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. III. Evidence for clonal anergy," *J Immunol*, 147:2155-2163, 1991.

Williamson et al., "Modulating dendritic cells to optimize mucosal immunization protocols," *J Immunol*, 163:3668-3675, 1999.

Winkler et al., "Mucosal tolerance as therapy of type I allergy: intranasal application of recombinant Bet v 1, the major birch pollen allergen, leads to the suppression of allergic immune responses and airway inflammation in sensitized mice," *Clin Exp Allergy*, 32:30-36, 2002.

Wolf and Bye, "The membranous epithelial (M) cell and the mucosal immune system," *Annu Rev Med*, 35:95-112, 1984.

Wu et al., "Gene transfer facilitated by a cellular targeting molecule, reovirus protein σ 1," *Gene Therapy*, 7:61-69, 2000.

Wu et al., "M cell-targeted DNA vaccination," *Proc Natl Acad Sci* (USA), 98:9318-9323, 2001.

* cited by examiner

FIGURE 1



FIGURE 2



FIGURE 3















FIGURE 7





FIGURE 9



FIGURE 10









FIGURE 13



TOLERIZING AGENTS

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED CASE(S)

This is the U.S. National Stage of International Application 5 No. PCT/US2007/065278, filed Mar. 27, 2007, which was published in English under PCT Article 21(2), which in turn claims the benefit of U.S. provisional application No. 60/786, 446, filed Mar. 27, 2006. Both applications are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was made with government support under ¹⁵ contracts AI018958, DE012242, AI043197, DC004976, and DE013812 awarded by the National Institutes of Health. The government has certain rights in the invention.

FIELD OF THE DISCLOSURE

This disclosure relates to agents and compositions useful in stimulating tolerance to an immunogen. In particular, it relates to mucosal targeted fusion proteins that can be applied, for instance, through oral and/or nasal routes to tol- 25 erize a subject.

BACKGROUND OF THE DISCLOSURE

Oral administration of a single high dose or repeated low 30 doses of protein has been shown to induce systemic unresponsiveness, presumably in the presence of mucosal IgA antibody responses (Challacombe et al., J. Exp. Med. 152:1459-1472, 1980; Mestecky et al., "The mucosal immune system." In Fundamental Immunology. Paul, ed. Lippincott Williams 35 & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pa., 965-1020, 2003). In earlier studies, this type of immune response was dubbed oral tolerance and the concept was used to refer specifically to immune responses elicited in mucosa-associated as opposed to systemic lymphoid tissues (Tomasi, Transplantation 40 29:353-356, 1980). However, previous studies showed that tolerance induction occurred in the mucosal effector lymphoid tissues (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001). Thus, mice fed large amounts of ovalbumin (OVA) prior to oral challenge with OVA plus native cholera toxin (CT) as 45 mucosal adjuvant exhibited antigen (Ag)-specific unresponsiveness in both systemic and mucosal compartments, while those fed PBS showed high levels of secretory (S)-IgA Ab responses (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001).

This unique response is an important natural physiological 50 mechanism whereby the host presumably avoids development of hypersensitivity reactions to many ingested food proteins and other antigens (Garside et al, Gut 44:137-142, 1999). Thus, tolerance (or systemic unresponsiveness) represents the most common response of the host to the environ- 55 ment. In addition to showing tolerance to several thousand different food proteins, the host tolerates indigenous microflora which colonize the large intestine. Further, the development of mucosal tolerance against pollen and dust antigens could also be essential for the inhibition of allergic reactions, 60 including IgE-mediated hypersensitivity. Indeed, tolerance is so strong that oral immunization only succeeds in inducing mucosal and systemic immunity when potent mucosal adjuvants, vectors or other special delivery systems are employed (Fujihashi et al, Acta. Odontol Scand. 59:301-308, 2001). 65

It is now generally agreed that oral tolerance is established and maintained at the level of T cells (Holt, Allergy 53:16-19,

1998; MacDonald, Curr. Opin. Immunol. 10:620-627, 1998; Mayer, Clin. Immunol. 94:1-8, 2000; Strobel & Mowat, Immunol Today 19:173-181, 1998; Strober et al., J. Clin. Immunol. 18:1-30, 1998; Wardrop & Whitacre, Inflamm. Res. 48:106-119, 1999; Weiner et al., Annu. Rev. Immunol. 12:809-837, 1994). Recent studies have identified dendritic cells as key players in the direct or indirect (via T cells) induction of oral tolerance (Mowat, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 3:331-341, 2003; Kato et al., Int. Immunol. 15:145-158, 2003; Nagler-Anderson & Shi, Crit. Rev. Immunol. 21:121-131. 2001; Viney et al., J. Immunol. 160:5815-5825, 1998; Williamson, J. Immunol. 163:3668-3675, 1999; Weiner, Immunol Rev. 182:207-214, 2001). Though the precise mechanisms by which oral delivery of Ag elicits a state of systemic unresponsiveness are not fully understood, the dosage of Ag has been shown to be an important factor (Friedman & Weiner, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 91:6688-6692, 1994). For example, a high oral Ag dose leads to T cell clonal deletion or anergy, which is characterized by inhibition of 20 both Ab- and cell-mediated immune (CMI) responses (Melamed & Friedman, Eur. J. Immunol. 25 23:935-942, 1993; Whitacre et al., J. Immunol 147:2155-2163, 1991; Chen et al., Nature 376:177-180, 1995). On the other hand, repeated delivery of low doses of protein induces cytokinemediated active immune suppression characterized by the presence of regulatory T cells, which include TGF-\beta-producing Th3 cells and IL-10-producing T regulatory one (Tr1) cells or CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T regulatory (Treg) cells (Chen et al., Science 265:1237-1240, 1994; Groux et al., Nature 389:737-742, 1997; Nagler-Anderson et al., Nat. Immunol. 5:119-122, 2004). Regulatory-type T cells were first rediscovered as acquired-type Tr1 cells playing a central role in suppressing inflammatory bowel disease development (Groux et al., Nature 389:737-742, 1997). Acquired-type Treg cells, which differentiate from naïve T cells, regulate tolerance to food Ags, bacterial flora and pathogens by producing suppressive cytokines such as TGF-\beta1 and IL-10 (Cottrez & Groux, Transplantation 77:S12-15, 2004). In contrast, naturally occurring CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells or innate-type Treg cells, which are also suppressive, control the proliferation, expansion and differentiation of naïve T cells in a direct cell contact manner (Dieckmann et al., J. Exp. Med. 196:247-253, 2002) and migrate preferentially to lymphoid tissues, mainly the spleen (Cottrez & Groux, Transplantation 77:S12-15, 2004).

In addition to CD4+ T cell function, gut-associated lymphoreticular tissues (GALT) play critical roles in the induction of oral tolerance. In this regard, our previous studies showed that Peyer's patch (PP)-deficient (PP-null) mice generated by in utero treatment of mothers with lymphotoxin beta-receptor (LTBR)-immunoglobulin (Ig) fusion protein failed to exhibit systemic unresponsiveness to oral protein antigens (Ag) such as OVA (Fujihashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:3310-3315, 2001). In contrast, others reported that PPs were not required for the induction of systemic tolerance (Spahn et al., Eur. J. Immunol 32:1109-1113, 2002). Recent studies have shown the importance of Ag-specific CD4⁺ CD25⁺ Treg cell clones from PPs in oral tolerance induction. Thus, Treg cells from PP of mice given a high dose of β -lactoglobulin produced high levels of TGF- β 1, and adoptive transfer of these clones reduced Ag-specific plasma IgG Ab responses (Tsuji et al., Int. Immunol. 15:525-534, 2003). Despite these compelling studies, the precise cellular and molecular mechanisms and the role of PPs in the induction of systemic and mucosal unresponsiveness still remain to be elucidated.

Adenoviruses enter the host via attachment to the mucosal epithelia by its protein known as "fiber protein". Likewise, reoviruses infect the host by attaching to M cells via a protein called "protein G σ 1" (p σ 1; Wu et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (*USA*) 98:9318-9323, 2001; Rubas et al., *J. Microencapsul* 7:385-395, 1990). These attachment proteins of adenovirus ssp. and reovirus ssp. are well known, and share a strikingly structural similarity despite lack of homology at the primary structure level. Both proteins are composed of a N-terminal shaft followed by a C-terminal globular domain, sometimes referred to as "head" or "knob". The shaft inserts into the viral capsids, while the globular domains contain the cell-specific targeting regions. For both of these viruses, the shaft contains a domain that causes the protein to form homotrimers, the active form of the protein.

Incorporation of po1 into liposomes allows the latter to bind to mouse L cells and rat Peyer's patches (Rubas et al., *J. Microencapsul* 7:385-395, 1990), and the recombinant po1 is ¹⁵ also known to bind to NALT M cells (Wu et al., *Gene Ther.* 7:61-69, 2000; Wu et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (*USA*) 98:9318-9323, 2001). In marked contrast to results seen when DNA is given alone, immunization with DNA complexed to poly-L-lysine-conjugated po1 leads to elevated S-IgA and ²⁰ plasma IgG Ab responses (Wu et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* (*USA*) 98:9318-9323, 2001).

There exists a need to develop agents that can stimulate or cause tolerance in a subject to an immunogen. It is to such agents, and compositions comprising such, that this disclo- 25 sure is drawn.

Overview of Representative Embodiments

Described herein is the development of M cell-targeting Ag delivery systems using recombinant reovirus po1. Recombinant po1 of reovirus has been genetically fused to OVA (OVA-po1). It is demonstrated that this fusion protein, when administered orally, facilitates systemic and mucosal tolerance induction by innate- and/or acquired-types of Treg cells. Also described is the nasal delivery of a OVA-po1(m) or ³⁵ OVA-po1(Δ) fusion protein for restoring OVA immunogenicity.

Thus, there is provided herein a new approach to delivering highly virulent and antigen-specific tolerizing agents, which uses a ligand (such as a mucosal targeting ligand) fused to a ⁴⁰ specific antigen to induce host unresponsiveness solely to that antigen. The ligand portion of the protein can be fused a broad range of antigens (toleragens), enabling the generation of tolerance to a number of autoimmune disease antigens, inflammatory disease antigens, allergens, and biological ⁴⁵ therapeutic molecules (e.g., botulinum toxin), for instance. The fusion proteins are capable of regulating peripheral tolerance subsequent to nasal or oral application.

The tolerizing fusion proteins provided herein can be used in various tolerance applications, including but not limited to ⁵⁰ treatment or amelioration of autoimmune diseases, inflammatory diseases, allergic reactions, graft or transplant rejection, and so forth. In addition, the provided proteins and methods of their use permit continuous or on-going treatment of a subject with a biological therapeutic agent. For example, ⁵⁵ tolerance has been demonstrated in mice challenged with ovalbumin or myelin proteins, the latter being useful for treatment against multiple sclerosis.

The foregoing and other features and advantages will become more apparent from the following detailed descrip- ⁶⁰ tion of several embodiments, which proceeds with reference to the accompanying figures.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1. Optimization of OVA-pσ1 for the induction of mucosal tolerance (A). BALB/c mice were fed a single dose

65

of OVA-p σ 1 [1000 (\Box), 500 (\boxtimes) or 100 (\boxtimes) µg] prior to oral challenge with OVA (1 mg) plus CT as adjuvant (10 µg) three times at weekly intervals. In some experiments, mice were given three separate doses of 100 µg of OVA-p σ 1 (\blacksquare) at daily intervals before oral challenge. Plasma and fecal extract samples were collected seven days after the last oral challenge and subjected to OVA-specific ELISA. As a control group, mice were fed PBS prior to oral challenge with OVA plus CT (dotted line).

The results represent the mean values \pm SEM for 12 mice in each experimental group and were taken from three separate experiments.

FIG. 2. Numbers of OVA-specific AFCs in various lymphoid tissues. BALB/c mice were fed three separate doses of OVA- σ 1 (100 µg) at weekly intervals prior to oral challenge with OVA (1 mg) plus CT (10 µg). As controls, mice were fed PBS prior to oral challenge with OVA plus CT. Mononuclear cells from spleen, MLNs and iLP were isolated seven days after the last oral challenge and subjected to OVA-specific ELISPOT assays in order to detect anti-OVA IgM (\Box), IgG (Z) and IgA (\blacksquare) AFCs. The results represent the mean i one standard error of the mean (SEM) for 12 mice in each experimental group and are taken from three separate experiments.

FIG. 3. OVA-specific DTH responses and OVA-specific CD4⁺T cell proliferative responses. (A) Six days after the last oral challenge, both OVA-p σ 1 (\Box)- and PBS (\blacksquare)-fed groups of mice were injected with 10 µg of OVA in 20 µl of PBS into the right ear pinna. PBS (20 µl) was administered to the left ear pinna as a control. The thickness of the ear was measured 24 hours later with an upright dial thickness gauge. The DTH response was expressed as the increase in ear swelling after challenge with Ag after subtraction of swelling in the control site. (B) Seven days after the last oral challenge, CD4+T cells were purified from both OVA- σ 1 (\Box)- and PBS (\blacksquare)-fed mice. Purified CD4⁺ T cell fractions were cultured with or without one mg/ml of OVA in the presence of APCs. An aliquot of 0.5 µCi of tritiated [³H]-thymidine was added during the final 18 hours of incubation, and the amount of [³H]-thymidine incorporation was determined by scintillation counting. The stimulation index was determined as cpm of wells with Ag/cpm of wells without Ag (controls). The levels of [³H] TdR incorporated in each control well ranged from 500 to 1,000 cpm. The results represent the mean values ± 1 SEM from three separate experiments (triplicate wells/experiment).

FIG. 4. Detection of frequency of OVA-specific CD4⁺ T cells. Mononuclear cells from the spleen, MLNs, PPs and iLPs of mice fed OVA- $p\sigma$ 1 or PBS were stained with FITC-conjugated anti-CD4 mAb and PE-labeled OVA/I-A^d tetramer. Samples were subjected to flow cytometric analysis using FACSCaliburTM. The results represent typical results and are taken from one of three separate experiments.

FIG. 5. TGF-β1 and IL-10 production by CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells. Mice were fed 100 μ g of OVA-p σ 1 (\Box) or PBS (\blacksquare) before being orally immunized weekly for three weeks with 1 mg of OVA plus 10 µg of CT. (A) CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells were purified from PPs, MLNs and spleen by flow cytometry and cultured with 1 mg/ml of OVA in the presence of irradiated APCs. The levels of TGF- β 1 in the culture supernatants were determined by a TGF- β 1-specific ELISA. (B) Interleukin-10 production by CD4⁺CD25⁺T cell subsets in MLNs and spleen were determined by intercellular analysis. Mononuclear cells were incubated with ionomycin (1 µg/ml, SIGMA, St. Louis, Mo.) and phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, 25 ng/ml, SIGMA) for 6 hours and then stained with PE-labeled anti-CD4, biotinylated anti-CD25 mAbs followed by Cy5.5streptavidin. These samples were further stained intracellularly with ALEXA FLUOR® 488-labeled anti-IL-10 mAb

(JES5-16E3). The results represent the mean values ± 1 SEM from three separate experiments.

FIG. 6. Protein $p\sigma 1$ ($p\sigma 1$) variants described here: recombinant $p\sigma 1$; $p\sigma 1(m)$ has a mutagenized sialic acid binding domain (SABD); OVA- $p\sigma 1$; OVA- $p\sigma 1$ (m) has a 5 mutagenized SABD; and OVA- $p\sigma 1$ (Δ) lacks its shaft and SABD.

FIG. 7. OVA-pσ1 fails to elicit delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) responses to OVA. Mice were given three i.n. doses of OVA-pσ1+CT, OVA-pσ1(Δ)+CT, OVA+CT, or OVA 10 alone on days 0, 7, and 14. On day 42, mice were challenged with 10 µg of OVA into one ear pinna and with sterile PBS in the other, and differences in ear swelling were measured 24 hours later. Compared to mice dosed with OVA+CT: *P<0.001, ***P=0.012, and NS=not significant. Mice i.n. 15 dosed with OVA only was not significantly different from mice i.n. dosed with OVA-pσ1+CT; mice i.n. dosed with OVA-pσ1(Δ)+CT were significantly different from OVApσ1+CT-dosed mice (**P=0.002). Depicted were the means ±SEM of individual mice from two experiments. 20

FIG. 8. CD4⁺ T cells from mice nasally dosed with OVApo1 mediate OVA unresponsiveness following adoptive transfer and peripheral OVA challenge. DO11.10 TCR CD4+ T cells were adoptively transferred into naive BALB/c mice, and subsequently dosed i.n. with PBS, 400 µg OVA, or 80 µg 25 OVA- $p\sigma$ 1 or i.m. with 400 µg OVA. Three days later, CLN CD4⁺ T cells were adoptively transferred into naive BALB/c mice, and 24 hours later, they were challenged with 100 µg in incomplete Freund's adjuvant. CD4+ T cells were isolated from the CLN and spleen five days later, and then cultured 30 with mitomycin C-treated feeder (T cell-depleted) cells without or with 1.0 mg OVA for five days. ³H-thymidine incorporation was measured and expressed as a stimulation index (SI). For CLN, $^{\$}P \leq 0.001$ vs. i.m. OVA; for spleen, **P=0.003, ***P=0.006 vs. i.m. OVA.

FIG. 9. Modification of OVA- $p\sigma 1$ with encephalitogenic peptides retains ability to induce unresponsiveness to OVA. (FIG. 9A) OVA-po1 was genetically modified at its N-terminus to express 2 copies of the encephalitogenic peptide derived from proteolipid protein (PLP)₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ separated by an 40 irrelevant peptide sequence $((MOG)_{35-55})$; this fusion protein is referred to as AR1. (FIG. 9B-E) C57BL/6 mice were nasally dosed on days 0, 7, & 14 with 100 µg of AR1, and (FIG. 9B) plasma IgG and (FIG. 9C) IgA and (FIG. 9D) copro-IgA were measured by OVA-specific ELISA. Only the 45 OVA+CT group showed anti-OVA Abs. *P<0.001. On days 21 and 27, mice were challenged i.n. with OVA+CT. Then on day 35, DTH test was performed as described in FIG. 3 (10 µg of OVA was injected into the left ear pinna and PBS alone into the right ear pinna as a control. Ear swelling was measured 24 50 and 48 hrs later, and differences recorded). Again, only the OVA+CT group showed a DTH response upon OVA challenge. Thus, these data show that the genetic fusion of the described peptides did not interfere with the OVA- $p\sigma$ 1 core.

FIG. 10. Mice nasally dosed with AR1 (a tolerogenic vac-55 cine for EAE) are protected against EAE challenge. (FIG. 10A) SJL/J mice were dosed with proteolipid protein peptide (PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁)₂:OVA-p σ 1 (AR1; n=8), as described in FIG. 9, and were challenged s.c. with PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ in modified complete Freund's adjuvant +i.p. pertussis toxin (PT). A second 60 dose of PT was given i.p. two days later and mice were followed for disease. As a positive oral tolerance control (n=5), one group of mice was orally tolerized with myelin basic protein (MBP) since these mice were protected (p<0.001) as were mice dosed with AR1 (p<0.001) when 65 compared to PBS-dosed (diseased) mice (n=8). (FIG. 10B) C57BL/6 mice were nasally dosed with 50 µg myelin oligo-

dendrocyte glycoprotein₂₉₋₁₄₆ genetically fused to p σ 1 (MOG-p σ 1) or to OVA-p σ 1 (MOG:OVA-p σ 1) three times at weekly intervals, and then 1 wk after the last i.n. dose, mice were challenged s.c. with 150 µg MOG₃₅₋₃₃ on day 0 and 7 of challenge, and given i.v. PT on days 0 and 2. Both the MOG-p σ 1 (n=5) and MOG:OVA-p σ 1 (n=5) protected mice (p<0.001) when compared to PBS-dosed mice (n=5).

FIG. 11. Protection against PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ challenge is attributed to the stimulation of the regulatory cytokines, IL-4,
IL-10, and TGF-β. SJL mice were dosed with AR1, OVApo1, or PBS as described in FIG. 9. Mice were then challenged with PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ peptide as described in FIG. 10. HNLN, spleens, and MLN were harvested at peak of disease (day 14) and purified CD4⁺ T cells were restimulated with PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ peptide for 2 days, and evaluated in a cytokine ELISPOT. PBS- and OVA-po1-dosed (unprotected mice) showed elevated (FIG. 11A) IFN-γ and (FIG. 11B) IL-17 cytokine-forming cells (CFC), and no (FIG. 11C) IL-4, (FIG. 11D) IL-10, or (FIG. 11E) TGF-β CFC. In contrast, AR1-dosed (tolerized) mice showed elevated IL-4, IL-10, and

TGF- β CFC and no IFN- γ or IL-17 CFC. Thus, only AR1 mice were protected against challenge, and tolerance induced to irrelevant protein (OVA- $p\sigma$ 1) did confer protection. *P<0.001 between AR1-dosed mice versus PBS-dosed mice.

FIG. 12. Single nasal or oral dose with MOG-p σ 1 protects C57BL/6 mice against challenge with MOG₃₅₋₅₅. Mice (5/group) were dosed once (FIG. 12A) nasally or (FIG. 12B) orally with 10, 50, or 100 µg of MOG₂₉₋₁₄₆-p σ 1 (MOG-p σ 1) or with PBS, and 10 days later challenged with MOG₃₅₋₅₅ per description for FIG. 10. In a dose-dependent fashion, protection against autoimmune challenge showed protection, but the 50 µg dose conferred the best protection with no disease, while minimal disease was observed at the 10 or 100 µg doses. Thus, p σ 1 delivery is an effective means to deliver autoantigens to the mucosa for the development of tolerance to self antigens.

FIG. 13. Nasal treatment of C57BL/6 mice with MOG-p σ 1 results in diminished EAE. Groups of C57BL/6 mice were induced with EAE as described in FIG. 10B using MOG₃₅₋₅₅ peptide. On day 7, one group of mice were nasally dosed with 50 µg MOG-p σ 1 or PBS, and disease course followed. On days 10 and 17, separate groups of mice were nasally dosed with 50 µg MOG-p σ 1, and disease course followed. Mice treated with MOG-p σ 1 showed either no EAE or only minor disease in some mice. Thus, MOG-p σ 1 can be used therapeutically to treat EAE.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEQUENCE LISTING

The nucleic and amino acid sequences listed in the accompanying sequence listing are shown using standard letter abbreviations for nucleotide bases, and three-letter code for amino acids, as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.822. Only one strand of each nucleic acid sequence is shown, but as appropriate in context the complementary strand is understood as included by any reference to the displayed strand. In the accompanying sequence listing:

SEQ ID NO: 1 shows the nucleic acid sequence encoding adenovirus 2 fiber protein (HAD278923).

SEQ ID NO: 2 shows the protein sequence of adenovirus 2 fiber protein.

SEQ ID NO: 3 shows the nucleic acid sequence encoding reovirus type 3 sigma 1 (haemagglutinin) (RET3S1).

SEQ ID NO: 4 shows the amino acid sequence of reovirus type 3 sigma 1 (haemagglutinin).

SEQ ID NO: 5 shows the nucleic acid sequence encoding adenovirus 16 fiber protein (AX034843).

SEQ ID NO: 6 shows the amino acid sequence of adenovirus 16 fiber protein.

SEO ID NO: 7 shows the nucleic acid sequence encoding 5 adenovirus 35 fiber (fiber) protein (30827 to 31798 of BK005236).

SEQ ID NO: 8 shows the amino acid sequence of adenovirus 35 fiber protein (30827 to 31798 of BK005236).

SEQ ID NO: 9 shows the nucleic acid sequence encoding adenovirus 37 fiber protein (x94484).

SEQ ID NO: 10 shows the amino acid sequence of adenovirus 37 fiber protein (x94484).

SEQ ID NO: 11 shows the nucleic acid sequence (V00383) $_{15}$ encoding ovalbumin.

SEQ ID NO: 12 shows the amino acid sequence of ovalbumin.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

All publications and patent applications herein are incorporated by reference to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference (including 25 those so indicated). The provided description includes information that may be useful in understanding the present invention. It is not an admission that any of the information provided herein is prior art or relevant to the presently claimed embodiments, or that any publication specifically or implicitly referenced is prior art. Although any methods and materials similar or equivalent to those described herein can be used in the practice or testing of the present invention, example methods and materials are described.

I. Abbreviations Ab, antibody

AFC, Ab forming cells

Ag, antigen

CT, native cholera toxin

GALT, gut-associated lymphoreticular tissues

iLP, small intestinal lamina propria

MLNs, mesenteric lymph nodes

OVA, ovalbumin

reovirus

PPs, Peyer's patches S-IgA, secretory-IgA

Treg, regulatory T

II. Terms

Unless defined otherwise, all technical and scientific terms used herein have the meaning commonly understood by a person skilled in the art to which this disclosure belongs. Definitions of common terms in molecular biology may be found in Benjamin Lewin, Genes V, published by Oxford University Press, 1994 (ISBN 0-19-854287-9); Kendrew et al. (eds.), The Encyclopedia of Molecular Biology, published by Blackwell Science Ltd., 1994 (ISBN 0-632-02182-9); and Robert A. Meyers (ed.), Molecular Biology and Biotechnology: a Comprehensive Desk Reference, published by VCH Publishers, Inc., 1995 (ISBN 1-56081-569-8).

In order to facilitate review of the various embodiments, the following explanations of specific terms are provided:

As used herein, the term "adjuvant" refers to a substance 65 sometimes included in a vaccine formulation to enhance or modify the immune-stimulating properties of a vaccine.

As used herein, the term "antibody" refers to a large Y shaped protein molecule made by B-cells of the immune system which very selectively binds to other specific protein molecules called antigens.

As used herein, the term "antigen" refers to a foreign substance that that when introduced into the body triggers an immune system response, resulting in production of an antibody as part of the body's defense against disease.

As used herein, the term "DNA vaccine" refers to a eukaryotic expression system encoding the molecular machinery for the expression of the subunit vaccine encoded in plasmid nucleic acids.

As used herein, the term "expression" refers to the vaccine vector which is responsible for producing the vaccine.

As used herein, the term "immunization" refers to a process by which a person or animal becomes protected against a disease; the process of inducing immunity by administering an antigen (vaccine) to allow the immune system to prevent infection or illness when it subsequently encounters the infec-20 tious agent.

As used herein, the term "mucosal" means any membrane surface covered by mucous.

As used herein, "mucosal targeting ligand" refers to a viral protein or adhesins that specifically bind to the epithelia to enable uptake of the vaccine. These MTLs are not restricted to proteins, but can a protein derivatized or not with carbohydrates and/or lipids. Likewise, carbohydrate, lipid, or nucleic acids found to bind to the epithelia can also be included as mucosal targeting ligands. Methods for making MTLs and additional examples thereof are described in PCT/US2006/ 001346 (published as WO 2006/078567), which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

As used herein, the term "toleragen" means any antigen (such as a protein, nucleic acid, carbohydrate, lipid, or com-35 bination of any thereof) that mediates host unresponsiveness. By way of example, a toleragen works by inducing the tolerized host not to produce antibodies or cell-mediated immune responses specific for the toleragen. Additional discussion of toleragens may be found, for instance, in PCT publication 4∩ WO 2006/052668, which is incorporated herein in its entirety.

III. Tolerizing Agents

60

One of the problems for conventional tolerization regimens is the requirement to use high doses, or repeated dosing, of OVA-po1, OVA genetically fused to protein sigma one of 45 antigen (toleragen or allergen). This disclosure provides evidence that the addition of a targeting molecule (or tolerizing agent), represented in various embodiments by protein sigma 1 ($p\sigma$ 1), mediates tolerance after a single oral dose or with minimal dosing. This enables use of far less toleragen when it 50 is genetically fused to $p\sigma 1$. As an example, typically, 25 mg of toleragen (for instance, the test antigen used in this case, ovalbumin (OVA)) is required to be given twice orally in order to induce tolerance as measured by lack of proliferative T-cell responses to OVA, reduced anti-OVA antibody responses, and reduced delayed type hypersensitivity reactions. In contrast, a single, low oral dose (100 µg) of OVA-po1 fusion protein was sufficient to elicit tolerance. This indicates the fusion is at least 500-fold more effective than convention.

Given this finding, the addition of a targeting molecule that directs (targets) a toleragen to the host M cells and/or mucosal epithelium and/or host dendritic cells, mediates tolerance induction via binding to host sialic acid, specific host receptors, or via a combination of these or other mechanisms. Such binding events contribute in part or in whole to the eventual development of tolerance.

In addition to $p\sigma 1$, other ligands that contribute to binding to M cells, dendritic cells, and/or mucosal epithelium and thereby mediate tolerance to a passenger molecule are included. As example, adenovirus 35 fiber protein or adenovirus 37 fiber protein, the latter of which has sialic acid binding activity and can also be used to elicit tolerance to a molecule fused or attached thereto. Any toleragen that can be 5 fused to such (targeting) ligands, or adaption of such ligands for delivery of particles (e.g., nanoparticles, microspheres, liposomes, or virus-like particles), can be used to induce tolerance and thereby, for instance, prevent or treat autoimmune diseases, allergies, food allergies, or allow for toleriza-10 tion to permit continued treatment with biologicals, e.g., botulinum neurotoxins (BoNTs).

Representative targeting molecules (or domains of molecules) that contribute to binding (e.g., to M cells, dendritic cells, and/or mucosal epithelium) include but are not limited 15 to known viral proteins. Sequences of such proteins, and the nucleic acids encoding them, can be found in public databases, such as GenBank. For instance, in addition to specific sequences discussed herein in detail, another nucleotide sequence encoding a human adenovirus 2 fiber protein is 20 found under Accession No. AJ278923. Similarly, an example reovirus 3 sigma 1 is found under Accession No. X01161.

By way of example, the fusion of the $p\sigma 1$ or like (tolerizing) molecule to the heavy and/or light chain(s) of a BoNT allows the adaption of the resultant fusion protein as a pro-25 phylactic or therapeutic vaccine to prevent or treat immune reactivity against BoNT. BoNTs are currently used for a variety of treatments including tremor disorders. Consequently, repeated exposure to native BoNTs can result in the development of neutralizing antibodies to the BoNTs. Such 30 exposure can prevent BoNT treatments. However, the use of a tolerizing molecule as described, in conjunction with BoNT light and/or heavy chains, can prevent or treat this immune reactivity. Thus, this disclosure describes the addition of mucosal targeting molecule(s) that enhance tolerance induc-35 tion.

One embodiment of this present disclosure is that certain molecules that bind the mucosal epithelium can elicit tolerance in a subject. Thus, for example, using the reovirus protein σ 1, a subject can be "vaccinated" for instance nasally, 40 orally, or peripherally for tolerance induction, thereby preventing the host (subject) from reacting against the passenger antigen fused thereto. Evidence provided here shows that OVA- σ 1, when given orally or nasally, makes the host unresponsive to OVA. In a similar fashion, when other protein or 45 peptides are genetically engineered onto OVA- σ 1 or p σ 1, tolerance to autoimmune epitopes can also be induced. For example, peptides from mouse proteolipid protein or from myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein genetically engineered onto OVA-p σ 1, when given, can reduce a multiple sclerosis- 50 like disease. Thus, any components that induce human or animal autoimmune disease when fused to $p\sigma 1$, and given to induce tolerance, should prevent or treat autoimmune diseases, such as multiple sclerosis, arthritis, diabetes, Hashimoto's disease, Graves' disease, Sjögren syndrome, etc.

Another embodiment is that compounds described herein can be used to induce tolerance to botulinum neurotoxins or other biological therapeutic agents. Currently, botulinum neurotoxins are used to treat tremor disorders as well as for cosmetic applications. However, one side-effect is that the 60 individual can develop neutralizing antibodies resulting in the therapeutic loss of these treatments. Thus, an MTL fused to the β -trefoil vaccine, heavy chain, or the light chain to botulinum neurotoxins. Thus, this shows that drugs or therapeutics can be applied to po1, to limit the host response. These 65 can also include host inflammatory mediators, e.g., cytokines or soluble cytokine receptors, such that the individual shows

unregulated or elevated expression of these inflammatory mediators that need to be suppressed.

Also particularly contemplated are fusion proteins that contain a tolerizing ligand (or its sialic acid binding domain component) that targets the fusion protein to host M cells and/or mucosal epithelium and/or host dendritic cells, and a component or fragment of at least one botulinum neurotoxin from serotype A, B, C, D, E, F, or G that will induce tolerance to botulinum. In some specific examples, the fusion protein contains a component or fragment, or domain, from two or more serotypes, or in some instances from all of serotypes A through G.

Tolerizing antigens include, but are not limited to, autoimmune antigens ("autoantigens"), therapeutically active biological agents, allergens, inflammatory antigens, and so forth. By way of example, therapeutically active biological agents maybe any immunologically active (that is, immune stimulatory) proteins or peptides that have a therapeutic function, such as growth factors, hormones (e.g., insulin), clotting factors (e.g., Factor VIII), metabolic enzymes, therapeutic antibodies (e.g., HERCEPTIN® or Trastuzumab), toxins (e.g., botulinum toxin), and so forth. Additional specific antigens that could usefully be fused to a targeting portion in the described fusion proteins will be known to those of ordinary skill in the art. For instance, WO 2006/052668 describes a number of representative antigens and categories thereof that can be used for tolerization.

The fusion proteins described herein are useful as therapeutic compounds for treatment of subjects, including human and veterinary subjects. As demonstrated, routes of administration include oral and nasal application, though other routs are contemplated. The dosage form of a pharmaceutical composition comprising one or more of the provided tolerizing fusion proteins will be influenced by the mode of administration chosen. For instance, in addition to injectable fluids, inhalational, topical, opthalmic, peritoneal, and oral formulations can be employed. Inhalational preparations can include aerosols, particulates, and the like. In general, the goal for particle size for inhalation is about 1 µm or less in order that the pharmaceutical reach the alveolar region of the lung for absorption. Oral formulations may be liquid (for example, syrups, solutions, or suspensions), or solid (for example, powders, pills, tablets, or capsules). For solid compositions, conventional non-toxic solid carriers can include pharmaceutical grades of mannitol, lactose, starch, or magnesium stearate. Actual methods of preparing such dosage forms are known, or will be apparent, to those of ordinary skill in the art.

For oral administration, the pharmaceutical compositions can take the form of, for example, tablets or capsules prepared by conventional means with pharmaceutically acceptable excipients such as binding agents (for example, pregelatinised maize starch, polyvinylpyrrolidone or hydroxypropyl methylcellulose); fillers (for example, lactose, microcrystal-55 line cellulose or calcium hydrogen phosphate); lubricants (for example, magnesium stearate, talc or silica); disintegrants (for example, potato starch or sodium starch glycolate); or wetting agents (for example, sodium lauryl sulphate). The tablets can be coated by methods well known in the art. Liquid preparations for oral administration can take the form of, for example, solutions, syrups or suspensions, or they can be presented as a dry product for constitution with water or other suitable vehicle before use. Such liquid preparations can be prepared by conventional means with pharmaceutically acceptable additives such as suspending agents (for example, sorbitol syrup, cellulose derivatives or hydrogenated edible fats); emulsifying agents (for example, lecithin or acacia);

non-aqueous vehicles (for example, almond oil, oily esters, ethyl alcohol or fractionated vegetable oils); and preservatives (for example, methyl or propyl-p-hydroxybenzoates or sorbic acid). The preparations can also contain buffer salts, flavoring, coloring, and sweetening agents as appropriate.

For administration by inhalation, the compounds for use according to the present disclosure are conveniently delivered in the form of an aerosol spray presentation from pressurized packs or a nebulizer, with the use of a suitable propellant, for example, dichlorodifluoromethane, trichlorofluoromethane, 10 dichlorotetrafluoroethane, carbon dioxide or other suitable gas. In the case of a pressurized aerosol, the dosage unit can be determined by providing a valve to deliver a metered amount. Capsules and cartridges for use in an inhaler or insufflator can be formulated containing a powder mix of the 15 compound and a suitable powder base such as lactose or starch.

The pharmaceutical compositions that comprise at least one therapeutic agent, in some embodiments, will be formulated in unit dosage form, suitable for individual administra- 20 tion of precise dosages. The amount of active compound(s) administered will be dependent on the subject being treated, the severity of the affliction, and the manner of administration, and is best left to the judgment of the prescribing clinician. Within these bounds, the formulation to be administered 25 will contain a quantity of the active component(s) in amounts effective to achieve the desired effect in the subject being treated.

The therapeutically effective amount of therapeutic agent, and specifically a tolerizing fusion protein, will be dependent 30 on the specific fusion protein utilized, the subject being treated, the severity and type of the affliction, and the manner of administration. The exact dose is readily determined by one of skill in the art based on the teachings herein, along with the potency of the specific compound, the age, weight, sex 35 and physiological condition of the subject. By way of example, in various embodiments the dosage of a tolerizing fusion protein required to achieve (or maintain) tolerance in a subject is low relative to traditional tolerization regimens. For instance, as few as one or a few doses (e.g., fewer than about 40 et al., J. Immunol. 170:1754-1762, 2003; Kataoka et al., J. three, or fewer than about five doses) of agent may be sufficient to induce tolerance. Similarly, a relatively low amount of antigen is required per dose, compared to previously known tolerance approaches). By way of example, as little as 1 mg or less of antigen in a dose (or total, in a series of doses) 45 will be effective with some fusion proteins. In other instances, as little as 500 µg, 300 µg, 250 µg, or less in a dose, or total in a series of doses, or even as little as 200 µg, 150 µg, 100 µg, or less will be effective. Based on, and the skill of practitioners who engage in tolerance induction, specific dosages and dos- 50 age regimens can readily be worked out for any particular tolerizing fusion protein using the teachings herein. Ovalbumin-Protein o1 M Cell Targeting Enhances Oral Tolerance with Loss of OVA-Specific CD4+ T Cells

In this example, facilitated induction of oral tolerance 55 using an M cell-targeting protein antigen delivery system was examined. Mice were fed different doses of (1) a recombinant protein sigma one $(p\sigma 1)$ of reovirus genetically conjugated to ovalbumin (OVA- $p\sigma$ 1) described herein or (2) PBS prior to oral challenge with OVA plus cholera toxin as mucosal adju- 60 vant. A low dose of OVA-po1 reduced anti-OVA antibody and CD4-positive (CD4⁺) T cell responses in both mucosal and systemic lymphoid tissues. OVA/MHC II-A^d tetramer staining revealed that the numbers of OVA-specific CD4+ T cells were significantly more reduced in the small intestinal lamina 65 propria (iLP) of mice fed OVA-po1 than of those fed PBS, while no significant difference was seen for the spleen. The

spleen of orally tolerized mice showed an increased frequency of CD25⁺, CD4⁺ T cells with TGF- β 1 production. These results show that mucosal and systemic unresponsiveness are regulated by distinct T cell subsets.

Experimental Procedures

Mice

BALB/c mice were purchased from the Frederick Cancer Research facility (Frederick, Md.). Mice were housed in microisolators, maintained in horizontal laminar flow cabinets, and provided sterile food and water as part of a specificpathogen-free facility in the Immunobiology Vaccine Center at the University of Alabama at Birmingham. The health of the mice was monitored by both serology for bacterial and viral pathogens and immunohistology. All of the mice used in these experiments were free of bacterial and viral pathogens. Construction of OVA-po1 for M Cell Targeting

PCR was used to obtain the cloned po1 cDNA from reovirus serotype 3 strain Dearing as previously described (Wu et al., Gene Ther. 7:61-69, 2000). Ovalbumin (OVA) was genetically fused to pol's N-terminus and is referred to as OVApo1. The OVA-po1 was produced using a Pichia pastoris yeast expression system as a his-tag labeled protein. Oral Immunization

Mice were gastrically intubated with different doses of OVA-po1 dissolved in 0.25 ml of PBS. Control mice received PBS only. Seven days later, mice were orally immunized with 1 mg of OVA plus 15 µg of CT three times at weekly intervals (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001). OVA-specific T and B cell responses were determined seven days after the last immunization (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001).

OVA-specific Antibody Assays

OVA-specific antibody (Ab) levels in plasma and mucosal secretions were determined by an ELISA as previously described (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001; Kato et al., Int. Immunol. 15:145-158, 2003; Fujihashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:3310-3315, 2001; Hagiwara Immunol. 172:3612-3619, 2004). Briefly, 96-well FAL-CON™ microtest assay plates (BD BioSciences, Oxnard, Calif.) were coated with one mg/ml of OVA in PBS. After blocking with 1% BSA in PBS, two-fold serial dilutions of samples were added to each well. Following incubation overnight at 4° C., horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled goat anti-mouse μ , γ or α heavy chain-specific Abs (Southern Biotechnology Associates (SBA), Birmingham, Ala.) were added to wells. The color reaction was developed for fifteen min at room temperature with 100 µl of 1.1 mM 2, 2'-azino bis (3-ethylbenz-thiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) in 0.1 M citrate phosphate buffer (pH 4.2) containing 0.01% H₂O₂. Endpoint titers were expressed as the reciprocal log₂ of the last dilution that gave an optical density at 415 nm of 0.1 greater than background.

Lymphoid Cell Isolation and Enumeration of Ab-forming Cells

The spleen and MLNs were removed aseptically and single-cell suspensions prepared in RPMI 1640 (Cellgro Mediatech, Washington, D.C.) containing HEPES buffer, non-essential amino acids, sodium pyruvate, L-glutamine, penicillin, streptomycin and gentamycin (incomplete medium) by passage through sterile wire mesh screens as described previously (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001; Fujihashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:3310-3315, 2001). Peyer's patches (PPs) were carefully excised from the small intestinal wall and dissociated using the neutral protease enzyme collagenase type IV (Sigma) in incomplete RPMI 1640 to obtain single-cell preparations (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001, Kato et al., Int. Immunol. 15:145-158, 2003). Mononuclear cells in the iLP were isolated after removal of PP and intraepithelial lymphocytes from the small intestine using a combination of enzymatic dissociation and discontinuous PERCOLL[™] density gradients (Pharmacia Fine Chemicals, Uppsala, Sweden). Mononuclear cells in the interface between the 40% and 75% layers were removed, washed and resuspended in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FCS (complete RPMI 1640) (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001; Fujihashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:3310-3315, 2001). Mononuclear cells obtained from mucosal and systemic lymphoid tissues were subjected to an ELISPOT assay in order to detect numbers of OVA-specific Ab-forming cells (AFCs) (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001; Kato et al., Int. Immunol. 15:145-158, 2003; Fujihashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:3310-3315, 2001; Fujihashi et al., J. Exp. Med. 20 183:1929-1935, 1996; Hagiwara et al., J. Immunol. 170: 1754-1762, 2003; Kataoka et al., J. Immunol. 172:3612-3619, 2004). Briefly, 96-well nitrocellulose plates (Millititer HA; Millipore, Bedford, Mass.) were coated with one mg/ml of OVA for analysis of anti-OVA-specific AFCs. The numbers 25 of OVA-specific AFCs were quantified using an IMMUNO-SPOT® spot analyzer Analyzer (Cellular Technology Ltd., Cleveland, Ohio) (Hagiwara et al., J. Immunol. 170:1754-1762, 2003; Kataoka et al., J. Immunol. 172:3612-3619, 2004).

Delayed Type Hypersensitivity (DTH) Responses

OVA-specific DTH responses were measured 7 days after the last oral challenge with OVA plus CT, as described above. Briefly, PBS (20 μ l) containing 10 μ g of OVA was injected into the left ear pinna of mice while the right ear pinna 35 received a PBS control injection (Kato et al., *J. Immunol.* 166:3114-3121, 2001; Fujihashi et al., *Acta. Odontol. Scand.* 59:301-308, 2001; Kato et al., *Int. Immunol.* 15:145-158, 2003). Ear swelling was measured 24 hours later with a dial thickness gauge (Ozaki Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 40 Japan). The DTH response was expressed as the increase in ear swelling after OVA injection minus the swelling in the PBS-injected control site.

Ag-specific T Cell Responses

CD4⁺ T cells from spleen, MLNs, and PPs were purified by 45 use of an automated magnetic activated cell sorter (AU-TOMACSTM) system (Miltenvi Biotec, Auburn, Calif.), as described previously (Hagiwara et al., J. Immunol. 170:1754-1762, 2003; Kataoka et al., J. Immunol. 172:3612-3619, 2004). Briefly, a nylon wool column of an enriched T cell 50 fraction was incubated with biotinylated anti-CD4 mAb (GK 1.5) (BD PharMingen) followed by streptavidin-conjugated microbeads and sorted to purity with the AUTOMACSTM. This purified T cell fraction was >97% CD4⁺ and the cells were >99% viable. The purified CD4⁺T cell fraction was then 55 resuspended in complete RPMI 1640 (4×10⁶ cells/ml) and cultured in the presence of one mg/ml OVA of cultures of T cell-depleted, irradiated (3000 rad) splenic antigen-presenting cells taken from non-immunized, normal mice. To assess OVA-specific T cell proliferative responses, an aliquot of 0.5 60 µCi of tritiated [³H]-TdR (Amersham Biosciences, Arlington Heights, Ill.) was added during the final 18 hour of incubation, and the amount of [3H]-TdR incorporation was determined by scintillation counting. The supernatants of identically treated T cell cultures not incubated with [³H]-TdR were then subjected to a cytokine-specific ELISA as described below.

Cytokine-Specific ELISA

Levels of cytokines in culture supernatants were measured by an ELISA. The details of the ELISA for IFN-β, IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6 and IL-10 have been described previously (Kato et al., J. Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001; Kato et al., Int. Immunol. 15:145-158, 2003; Fujihashi et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:3310-3315, 2001; Hagiwara et al., J. Immunol. 170:1754-1762, 2003; Kataoka et al., J. Immunol. 172:3612-3619, 2004). The following were used as coating and detection mAbs, respectively: anti-IFN- β : R4-6A2 and XMG 1.2 mAbs; anti-IL-2: JES6-1A12 and JES6-5H4 mAbs; anti-IL-4: BVD4-1D11 and BVD6-24G2 mAbs; anti-IL-5: TRFK-5 and TRFK-4 mAbs; anti-IL-6: MP5-20F3 and MP5-32C11 mAbs; and anti-IL-10: JES5-2A5 and JES5-16E3 mAbs. A mouse TGF-β1 immunoassay kit, QUANTIKINETM(R & D systems, Minneapolis, Minn.), was used to detect TGF-\u00b31 in the culture supernatants. The levels of Ag-specific cytokine production were calculated by subtracting the results of control cultures (e.g., without Ag stimulation) from those of Ag-stimulated cultures. This ELISA was capable of detecting 0.8 ng/ml of IFN-β; 0.4 U/ml of IL-2; 25 pg/ml of IL-4; 0.8 U/ml of IL-5; 200 pg/ml of IL-6; 4 pg/ml of IL-10; and 4 pg/ml of TGF- β 1.

Flow Cytometry Sorting and Analysis

In order to determine the frequencies of OVA-specific CD4⁺T cells, mononuclear cells from spleen, MLNs, PPs and iLP were stained with FITC-conjugated anti-CD4 (GK1.5), biotinylated anti-CD25 (7D4) mAb and PE-labeled OVA/ MHC II- A^d tetramer followed by Cy5.5-streptavidin before being subjected to flow cytometric analysis. For intracellular IL-10 analysis, cells were incubated with ionomycin (1 µg/ml, SIGMA, St. Louis, Mo.) and phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA, 25 ng/ml, SIGMA) for 6 hours and then stained with PE-labeled anti-CD4, biotinylated anti-CD25 mAbs followed by Cy5.5-streptavidin. These samples were further stained intra-cellularly with ALEXA FLUOR® 488 labeled anti-IL-10 mAb (JES5-16E3). In some experiments, cells were stained with FITC-labeled anti-CD4 and biotinylated anti-CD25 mAb followed by PE-streptavidin. CD4+ CD25⁺ T cells were purified by flow cytometry and their TGF- β 1 production was determined as described above. Statistics

The significance of the difference (e.g., p values) among groups was evaluated by the Mann Whitney U test using a Statview II program designed for Macintosh computers.

Results

Optimization of Oral Doses of OVA-po1

Since it has been shown that $p\sigma 1$ can bind to mucosal M cells (Wu et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:9318-9323, 2001), it can be hypothesized that oral tolerance can be effectively achieved by OVA- $p\sigma$ 1. To test this notion, mice were gastrically intubated with different doses of OVA-po1. Mice were fed one dose of either 100 µg, 500 µg or 1000 µg of OVA-po1. An additional group of mice was given three daily doses of 100 µg of oral OVA-po1. Seven days later, all groups of mice were challenged once a week for three weeks with oral OVA plus CT. OVA-specific plasma IgG Ab titers were not markedly reduced in mice given three weekly doses of 100 μ g of OVA-p σ 1 (FIG. 1). On the other hand, they were significantly more reduced in all other single OVA-po1 treatment groups than in mice fed PBS (FIG. 1). Further, OVAspecific plasma IgA and mucosal S-IgA Ab responses in mouse groups receiving one feeding of OVA-po1 were markedly lower than in the positive control group (PBS-fed mice) (FIG. 1). These results show that a single oral dose of OVA- pol effectively induces both systemic and mucosal unresponsiveness to OVA. Based upon these results, we next employed a single oral dose of 100 µg of OVA-po1 for further experiments.

Oral OVA-po1 Facilitates Both Systemic and Mucosal Unre- 5 sponsiveness

To further confirm these findings at the cellular level, the numbers of OVA-specific Ab-forming cells (AFCs) were examined in various lymphoid tissues of mice given oral OVA-po1 or PBS. Numbers of OVA-specific IgG and IgA 10 AFCs in spleen and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) were reduced significantly (p<0.05) in the oral $p\sigma$ 1-group but not in the oral PBS-Group (FIG. 2), showing that oral tolerance is indeed induced by feeding 100 µg of OVA-po1. In order to assess induction of unresponsiveness in mucosal effector 13 sites, the numbers of OVA-specific AFCs in iLP were compared in groups fed PVA-po1 or PBS. The number of anti-OVA IgA AFCs was reduced in the $p\sigma1$ —but not the PBS-fed group (FIG. 2). These results suggest that M cell targeting by

OVA-po1, Th1- and Th2-type cytokine production by OVAstimulated CD4+T cells was examined. Purified CD4+T cells from the spleen and PPs of mice fed OVA or PBS were incubated with or without 1 mg of OVA in the presence of autologous APCs for five days. When the culture supernatants were harvested and examined by cytokine-specific ELISA, OVA-po1-fed mice showed reduced CD4⁺ Th1 (IFN-y and IL-2) and Th2 (IL-4, IL-5, IL-6 and IL-10) cytokine responses, while mice fed oral PBS showed high levels of Th2-type cytokines, especially IL-4 and IL-10 (Table 1). A virtually identical profile of up-regulation of Th2-type cytokine synthesis was seen in the spleen of mice following oral administration of PBS. On the other hand, a hyporesponsive Th1- and Th2-type cytokine profile was noted in both PPs and spleen of mice fed OVA-po1 before being orally challenged with OVA plus CT (Table 1). Taken together, these results indicate that CD4⁺ T cell unresponsiveness was induced in both spleen and PPs by a single oral dose of OVA- $p\sigma 1$.

TABLE 1

	CI	D ⁺ Th1 and Th2	Cytokine Synth	iesis by OVA-St	pecific CD4+ T C	Cells ^a	
	Orally	Th1	type ^b		Th2 ty	pe ^b	
Lymphoid Tissue	Immunized with	IFN-γ (ng/ml)	IL-2 (ng/ml)	IL-4 (pg/ml)	IL-5 (ng/ml)	IL-6 (ng/ml)	IL-10 (ng/ml)
Spleen Peyer's	PBS OVA-pol PBS	5.9 ± 1.3^{c} 0.3 ± 0.02^{e} 4.2 ± 1.7	0.9 ± 0.18 0.19 ± 0.02^{f} 1.6 ± 0.05	477 ± 2.6 30 ± 0.8^{e} 420 ± 3.0	4.54 ± 0.2 0.18 ± 0.02^{e} 3.1 ± 0.2	1.28 ± 0.05 0.07 ± 0.02^{e} 0.8 ± 0.08	44.5 ± 2.9 1.8 ± 0.3^{e} 40.8 ± 1.1
Patches	OVA-pol	0.3 ± 0.03^{e}	0.15 ± 0.01	$110 \pm 1.1'$	$0.27 \pm 0.02^*$	0.12 ± 0.01^{j}	2.2 ± 0.2^{e}

^aSplenic CD4+ T cells (2×10^{6} /ml) from each group of mice were cultured with 1 mg/ml of OVA in the presence of T cell-depleted and irradiated splenic feeder cells (4×10^{6} /ml). ^bCulture supernatants were harvested after 5 days (2 days for IL-2) of incubation and analyzed by the cytokine-specific ELISA.

"The results represent the mean ± one SEM of one of three separate experiments.

40

60

65

^dN.D. indicate not detected.

 $e_{p} < 0.01$,

 $f_{\rm p} < 0.05$ compared with PBS group.

OVA-po1 effectively induces mucosal tolerance and may contribute to the maintenance of mucosal homeostasis. DTH and CD4⁺ T Cell Proliferative Responses

Whether tolerance was induced at the T cell level after a single oral dose of OVA-po1 was next determined. OVAspecific delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) responses were assessed in mice given either OVA- $p\sigma1$ or PBS orally. OVA- $_{45}$ specific DTH responses were much more pronounced in the $p\sigma$ 1-group than in the PBS group (FIG. 3A), showing that OVA-specific T cell responses were tolerized by a single low dose of OVA-po1. Using the described oral challenge system, which allows examination of CD4⁺ T cell responses in mucosal lymphoid tissues, CD4⁺ T cell proliferative responses were next examined in both mucosal (MLNs and PPs) and systemic (spleen) compartments of mice given oral OVA- $p\sigma$ 1. The CD4⁺ T cells from spleen, PPs, and MLNs were purified by use of an automated magnetic-activated cell sorter (AUTOMACSTM) system. These purified CD4⁺ T cell fractions were cultured with or without one mg/ml of OVA in the presence of T cell-depleted, irradiated splenic APCs taken from non-immunized, normal mice. Significant reductions in T cell proliferative responses were seen in the spleen, MLNs and PPs of the OVA- $p\sigma$ 1—but not the PBS-fed group (FIG. **3**B). These results show that T cell unresponsiveness was initiated in mucosal inductive tissues such as the PPs, by M cell targeting of OVA-po1. Subsequently, these tolerized CD4⁺ T cells migrated into the spleen via the MLNs.

Cytokine Production by OVA-Stimulated CD4+ T Cells Since T cell unresponsiveness was induced in both systemic and mucosal lymphoid tissues by a single oral dose of Mucosal Unresponsiveness is Due to Clonal Deletion of OVA-Specific CD4⁺ T Cells

In order to examine the role of OVA-specific CD4+ T cells in oral tolerance, mononuclear cells from spleen, PPs, MLNs and iLP were isolated one week after the last immunization and stained with FITC-conjugated anti-CD4, biotin-conjugated anti-CD25 mAbs and PE-labeled OVA/II-A^d tetramer followed by Cy5.5-streptavidin. This analysis revealed a lower frequency of tetramer⁺ OVA-specific CD4⁺ T cells in iLPs of mice given OVA-po1 prior to oral challenge with OVA plus CT than in mice given oral PBS (FIG. 4 and Table 2). Numbers of OVA-specific CD4⁺ T cells were reduced in PPs and MLNs of mice given oral PVA-po1 (Table 2), but remained essentially the same in the spleen of orally tolerized mice and of those exhibiting high OVA-specific Ab titers (Table 2). When CD25 expression on tetramer⁺ OVA-specific CD4⁺ T cells was examined, the frequency of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells was found to be significantly decreased in iLP of orally tolerized mice (Table 2). In addition, the numbers of tetramer⁺ OVA-specific CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells in spleen, MLNs, PPs and iLP were also significantly reduced. Among these lymphoid tissues, marked reductions in OVA-specific CD4⁺ CD25⁻ T cells were seen in the iLP of orally tolerized mice (Table 2). On the other hand, increased numbers of CD4⁺ $CD25^{+}T$ cells, especially of the OVA/II-A^d tetramer negative subset, were noted in spleen and MLNs of mice give oral PVA-po1 before mucosal challenge with OVA plus CT (Table 2). These results suggest that mucosal unresponsiveness to orally delivered Ag is most likely due to the reduced numbers

50

of OVA-specific CD4⁺ T cells in the iLP (clonal deletion), a mechanism that is entirely distinct from the systemic unresponsiveness induced by active suppression by CD4⁺ CD25⁺ Treg cells.

TABLE 2

	The free CD4 ⁺ T cells	quency of OVA- in various lymp	specific hoid tissues ^{a.}		
	-		CD4+ (100%)		_
Lymphoid Tissue	Orally Immunized With	OVA/I-A ^d Tetramer ⁺ CD25 ⁺	OVA/I-A ^d Tetramer ⁺ CD25 ⁺	OVA/I-A ^d Tetramer [−] CD25 ⁺	
Spleen	PBS OVA-pol	4.6 ± 0.4 5.6 ± 1.1	5.1 ± 0.5 3.6 ± 0.6 ^c	8.2 ± 0.4 12.0 ± 0.8	
MLNs	PBS OVA-pol	1.6 ± 0.3 1.8 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.1 2.0 ± 0.2^{b}	5.6 ± 1.1 8.6 ± 1.0 ^d	
Peyer's patches Intestinal lamina propria	PBS OVA-pol PBS OVA-pol	$2.6 \pm 0.3 2.7 \pm 0.7 1.9 \pm 0.3 0.8 \pm 0.2b$	$5.9 \pm 0.6 4.2 \pm 0.5 2.7 \pm 0.2 1.4 \pm 0.1b$	6.3 ± 1.8 5.2 ± 1.1 4.5 ± 0.4 2.9 ± 0.4	

^aMononuclear cells (1 × 10⁶) from various lymphoid tissues of mice fed OVA-pol or PBS were stained with FITC-conjugated anti-CD4 (GK 1.5) and biotinylated anti-CD25 (7D4) mAbs as well as PE-labeled OVA/I-A⁴ tetramer followed by Cy5.5-streptavidin. Samples were then subjected to flow cytometry analysis using FASCalibur ™. The results represent the mean values ± one SEM from these separate experiments. ^bp < 0.01.

^cp < 0.03

 $d_{\rm p} < 0.05$ compared with PBS-group.

TGF- β 1-Producing Treg Cells Are Induced by Oral OVA-p σ 1

The increased frequency of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells in spleen ³⁰ and MLNs suggested the possibility that CD4⁺ Treg cells are induced when mice are fed OVA- $p\sigma 1$ and then mucosally challenged with OVA plus CT as mucosal adjuvant. To test this possibility, we examined the production of IL-10 and TGF-\u03b31 by CD4+ CD25+ T cells. Flow cytometry-purified 35 CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells from PPs, spleen and MLNs of mice fed OVA- $p\sigma 1$ or PBS were stimulated with OVA for 5 days. The culture supernatants of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells from orally tolerized mice contained higher levels of TGF-\u00b31 than did those from PBS-fed mice (FIG. 5A). Intracellular IL-10 40 analysis was performed to determine the extent of IL-10 production by CD4⁺ CD25⁺ Treg cells in spleen and MLNs of orally tolerized mice. Flow cytometric analysis revealed fewer IL-10-producing CD4+ CD25+ T cells in mice fed OVA-po1 than in mice fed PBS (FIG. 5B). These results 45 demonstrate that TGF_β1-producing CD4⁺ Treg cells were induced in the MLNs and spleen of mice fed OVA- $p\sigma$ 1.

Discussion

The current study shows that the OVA-po1 M cell-targeting delivery system facilitates the induction of oral tolerance. Mucosal and systemic unresponsiveness can be induced with a single oral dose of 100 µg of OVA-po1 instead of the repeated low doses of oral OVA that would otherwise be 55 Ag delivery system reduced the doses of feeding Ag in order required. OVA-specific mucosal S-IgA and plasma IgG Ab responses as well as DTH and T cell proliferative responses were all reduced significantly in OVA-po1-but not in PBSfed mice. Further, OVA-stimulated CD4+ T cells from spleen and PPs of orally tolerized mice showed much more marked 60 reduction in the levels of both Th1- and Th2-type cytokine production than did those fed PBS before being orally challenged with OVA plus CT as adjuvant. The use of OVA/MHC II-A^d tetramer staining revealed significantly reduced numbers of OVA-specific CD4+ T cells in iLP of mice fed OVA- 65 $p\sigma 1$. On the other hand, the numbers of TGF- $\beta 1$ -producing CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells were higher in the MLNs and spleen of

orally tolerized mice than in the control group. These results show that the M cell-targeting Ag delivery by OVA-po1 feeding effectively induces mucosal and systemic unresponsiveness. Of key importance is the finding that the mechanisms regulating tolerance in mucosal and peripheral lymphoid tissues are distinct.

The M cells are known to take up and transport lumenal Ags, including proteins, viruses, bacteria, small parasites, and microspheres (Ermak et al., Cell Tissue Res. 279:433-10 436, 1995; Neutra et al., Cell 86:345-348, 1996; Gebert et al., Int. Rev. Cytol. 167:91-159, 1996; Wolf & Bye, Annu. Rev. Med. 35:95-112, 1984). M cells have then been shown to deliver the intact Ag into underlying lymphoid tissue of the GALT (Gebert et al., Int. Rev. Cytol. 167:91-159, 1996; Wolf 15 & Bye, Annu. Rev. Med. 35:95-112, 1984). M cells are also thought to be involved in Ag processing and presentation, since the GALT M cells express MHC class II molecules and acidic endosomal-lysosomal compartments (Allan et al., Gastroenterology 104:698-708, 1993). In addition to serving as a means of transport for lumenal Ags, the M cells also provide an entryway for pathogens. For example, invasive strains of Salmonella typhimurium initiate murine infection by invading the M cells of the PPs (Jones et al., J. Exp. Med. 180:15-23, 1994). Based upon these findings, M cell-targeting Ag delivery could be assumed to be the normal pathway for induction of Ag-specific immune responses. Indeed, NALT M cell targeting a DNA vaccine constructed with $p\sigma 1$ elicited Ag-specific IgG and S-IgA Ab responses (Wu et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 98:9318-9323, 2001). However, our current study has now shown that oral administration of OVA-po1 facilitates unresponsiveness to OVA in both systemic and mucosal lymphoid tissues instead of inducting OVA-specific immunity. These opposite outcomes can be partially explained by the nature of the Ag. Ovalbumin is only weakly immunogenic and always requires an adjuvant for induction of immune responses. In contrast, cytomegalovirus plasmid DNA (pCMV), a known ligand for toll-like receptor 9, is recognized by IFN-y producing cells and dendritic cells (Krug et al., Immunity 21:107-119, 2004) and most likely induces innate and acquired immunity. Indeed, although M cells are able to transport lumenal Ags, noninvasive strains of S. typhimurium cannot penetrate M cells and are avirulent (Jones et al., J. Exp. Med. 180:15-23, 1994). An antigen's immunogenicity and pathogenicity in the GI tract could be the most critical factors in determining whether mucosal immunity or tolerance is induced.

Mucosal tolerance may be the most common immune response because it is necessary to maintain homeostasis. The normal host would readily establish unresponsiveness to commensal bacteria, food Ag and allergens. Taken together, we conclude that our OVA-po1 system, M cell targeting of a non-pathogenic protein Ag is an efficient strategy for the establishment of oral tolerance.

Results provided herein clearly show that M cell targeting to establish oral tolerance. Similar findings were reported using Ag conjugated with B subunit of CT (CT-B) (Sun et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 91:10795-10799, 1994). That study showed that a single oral administration of relatively small amounts of particulate or soluble antigen coupled to the CT-B markedly suppressed systemic immune responses (Sun et al., Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. (USA) 91:10795-10799, 1994). Since CT-B specifically bind to GM-1 ganglioside which abundantly expressed by intestinal epithelial cells (iECs) including M cells, it still remained unclear which of iECs or M cells play a significant role in the induction of oral tolerance. The findings reported herein showed that induction of oral tolerance can be easily achieved by M cell targeting Ag delivery system most likely without Ag uptake from iECs. Recent studies showed that M cells are present in the small intestine of isolated lymphoid follicles (ILFs) as well as intestinal villi (villous M cells) (Hamada et al., *J. Immunol* 168: 57-64, 2002; Jang et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 101: 6110-6115, 2004). Role(s) of M cells in these newly identified GALT in the induction of oral tolerance are being investigated.

Flow cytometric analysis revealed increased numbers of CD4+ CD25+ T cells in MLNs and spleen of orally tolerized mice, suggesting feeding with OVA-po1 induced production of Treg cells. Along this line, a recent study reported that PP-derived Treg clones produce high levels of TGF- β 1 and 15 suppressed Ag-specific Ab responses in spleen (Tsuji et al., Int. Immunol. 15:525-534, 2003). Based upon these findings, our group examined TGF-pβ1 and IL-10 production by OVAspecific CD4⁺ T cells from mice fed OVA-po1 prior to oral challenge with OVA plus CT. Our results clearly show that 20 CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells in PPs, MLNs and spleen from orally tolerized mice produce higher levels of TGF-β1 after OVA stimulation than do those from mice fed PBS. On the other hand, intracellular IL-10 production by CD4+ CD25+ T cells from mice fed OVA-po1 was significantly reduced. Taken 25 together with the observation that acquired-type CD4⁺ Treg cells are Ag-specific and produce inhibitory cytokines including TGF-B1 and IL-10 (Cottrez & Groux, Transplantation 77:S12-15, 2004), our results indicate that acquired-type CD4⁺ Treg cells are induced by oral administration of OVA- 30 pσ1.

OVA-specific CD4⁺ T cells were significantly more reduced in the iLP of orally tolerized mice than in PBS-fed mice challenged with oral OVA plus CT, but no such reduction was seen in spleen or MLNs of either group. Similarly, 35 others showed that a reduction of Ag-specific T cells occurred in mice given repeated low doses of cytochrome c protein (Gutgemann et al., Immunity 8:667-673, 1998). In contrast, the spleen of orally tolerized mice exhibited increased numbers of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells and the presence of TGF- β 1- 40 producing CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells. Based upon these findings, it appears likely that mechanisms for the induction of mucosal and systemic unresponsiveness differ. Thus, mucosal unresponsiveness is clearly associated with clonal deletion of OVA-specific effector CD4+T cells while systemic unrespon- 45 siveness may be achieved by active suppression of an acquired type of Treg cells. These findings are the first to show that two separate mechanisms underlie mucosal unresponsiveness and that they are entirely distinct from those which underlie systemic unresponsiveness. 50

It still remains unclear how this clonal deletion of OVAspecific CD4⁺ T cells actually occurs since CD4⁺ CD25⁺ T cells are also reduced significantly in the iLP of orally tolerized mice. However, one can hypothesize that the numbers of OVA-specific CD4+ T cells and AFC in iLP are reduced 55 simply because OVA-specific CD4+ T cell migration into the iLP has been interrupted. Thus, effector CD4+ Th cells could be suppressed by PP-derived TGF-β1-producing CD25⁺ Treg cells in the MLNs and spleen before reaching the iLP. To support this view, our previous results showed that induction 60 of Ag-specific Ab responses in the iLPs required three consecutive weekly oral immunizations (Kato et al., J Immunol. 166:3114-3121, 2001; Fujihashi et al., J. Exp. Med. 183: 1929-1935, 1996). We are currently testing this notion using a nasal challenge system in order to better distinguish 65 between OVA-specific CD4+ effector T cell and CD4+ Treg cell activities.

In summary, this example provides the first evidence that M cell targeting of a non-pathogenic Ag OVA-p\sigma1 can induce mucosal unresponsiveness via a mechanism distinct from that underlying systemic tolerance. This M cell-targeting system allowed us to elucidate the immunoregulatory mechanisms of the PP-mediated oral tolerance pathway from other potential mechanisms. Thus, these findings show that regulatory-type CD4⁺ T cells are induced in the PP and then migrate into MLNs and spleen. These CD4⁺ Treg cells contribute to the successful systemic unresponsive state that ensues. Further, these results clearly show that mucosal unresponsiveness to orally administered Ag can be attributed to a lack of Agspecific CD4⁺ T helper cells in the iLP.

Nasal Tolerance

From the literature, it has been shown that reovirus type 3 protein sigma 1 ($p\sigma$ 1) is a highly structured protein featuring several domains, which mediate a multi-step interaction between the virus and the host cell (Barton et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:2200-2211, 2000; FIG. 6). It has been shown that type 3 p σ 1 interacts with at least two host receptors via separate binding domains. The head domain binds with a component of tight junctions, JAM-1 molecule, whereas sequences contained within the fibrous tail domain binds terminal α -linked sialic acid residues on host cells (Barton et al., *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:2200-2211, 2000; Chappell et al., *J. Virol.* 71:1834, 1997). To determine the relevant binding components of our recombinant $p\sigma$ 1 and OVA- σ 1, additional constructs or variants were made and expressed in yeast (FIG. 6).

To determine the role of $p\sigma 1$'s sialic binding domain (SABD), a $p\sigma 1(m)$ construct was made in which the mutations N198→D198 and R202→G202 were introduced to interrupt the SABD's binding activity. In addition, OVA was genetically fused to $p\sigma 1(m)$ and called OVA- $p\sigma 1(m)$. Genetic fusions of OVA are all placed at the N-terminus of $p\sigma 1$ so as to not interfere with the host receptor binding domains located in the $p\sigma$ 1's C-terminus. Thus, if sialic acid binding dictates mediation of tolerance by pad, then the loss of sialic acid binding should confer immunization. In a similar fashion, the complete removal of po1's SABD should do the same, and this variant, OVA- $p\sigma 1(\Delta)$, which encompasses the OVA gene fused to the last 207 amino acids of $p\sigma 1$ renders only a functional trimerizing domain and head (FIG. 6). Each of the OVA fusion proteins featured a flexible linker between the fusion partners.

Siatic Acid Binding is Important For Tolerance Induction by $P\sigma\mathbf{1}$

To determine the functional consequence of sialic acid binding by OVA-p σ 1, groups of C57BL/6 mice were given three nasal immunizations on days 0, 7, and 14 in combination with the mucosal adjuvant, cholera toxin (CT), and one of three antigens, OVA- σ 1, OVA- $p\sigma$ 1(Δ), or OVA or given OVA without CT. Again, OVA- $p\sigma 1(\Delta)$ is a truncated OVA- $\sigma 1$ lacking its SABD and shaft (FIG. 6). To test for a delayed-type hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction, mice were challenged after 42 days with OVA into one ear pinna and PBS into the other ear pinna. Mice immunized with OVA alone or OVA-o1+CT failed to show swelling in the OVA-challenged ear when compared to mice immunized with OVA+CT (P<0.001) or OVA- $p\sigma1(\Delta)+CT$ (P=0.002) (FIG. 7). Thus, the OVA- $p\sigma1$ (Δ) , which lacked the SABD, behaved more as an immunogen in contrast to OVA- $p\sigma$ 1, which behaved as a toleragen. This collective evidence suggested that the presence of the SABD on $p\sigma 1$ was required for tolerance induction, whereas, in its absence, clearly immunization occurred.

Adoptive Transfer of CD4+ T Cells into Naive Mice Are Unresponsive to OVA Challenge

To test whether nasal exposure to OVA-o1 could make CD4⁺ T cells unresponsive to OVA and effectively adoptively transfer these T cells, the transgenic DO 11.10 CD4⁺ T cells were isolated from spleen and lymph nodes by cell-sorting, and adoptively transferred into naive BALB/c mice. After 24 hours, groups of mice were dosed nasally with PBS, 80 µg OVA-σ1, or 400 µg OVA, or given a single i.m. OVA immunization. Three days later, cervical lymph nodes (CLN) were 10 removed and CD4⁺ T cells were isolated by cell-sorting. These CLN CD4⁺ T cells (2×10^6 /mouse) were adoptively transferred into naive mice, and after 24 hrs, they were challenged s.c. with OVA in incomplete Freund's adjuvant. Five days later, CD4⁺ T cells from the head and neck LN (HNLN) were isolated by cell-sorting and cultured with mitomycin C-treated feeder cells without and with 1.0 mg OVA for 5 days. ³H-TdR was used to measure T cell proliferation. Mice were made unresponsive by the nasal 400 µg OVA or the 80 µg OVA- σ 1 since these did not proliferate (FIG. 8). In contrast, 20 the CD4+T cells isolated from the i.m. OVA-dosed mice were responsive. Thus, dosing i.n. with OVA- σ 1 can make mice unresponsive to OVA, and this unresponsiveness is mediated by CD4⁺ T cells specific for OVA. Moreover, this unresponsiveness can be adoptively transferred with CD4⁺ T cells. 25 OVA-pσ1 Can Be Modified With Encephalitogenic Peptides to Render Protection Against Experimental Autoimmune Encephalitis (EAE) Challenge

Thus far, we showed the feasibility of inducing tolerance against OVA, a familiar antigen frequently used in experimental systems. To forward efforts to treating autoimmune diseases, we adapted the OVA- σ 1 fusion protein with peptides known to cause autoimmune disease. We hypothesized that genetic fusion of encephalitogenic peptides to OVA-σ1 should induce tolerance as shown with our studies using OVA 35 as a test antigen. OVA- σ 1 was modified because we could then follow unresponsiveness to OVA as an internal control for our studies. Thus, this modified OVA- σ 1 construct, termed AR1, was made with two copies of the encephalitogenic peptide from proteolipid protein (PLP), PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁, 40 separated by an irrelevant peptide (MOG₃₅₋₅₅) (FIG. 9A). C57BL/6 mice were dosed thrice with AR1, and they did not generate IgG or IgA anti-OVA Abs when compared to OVA+ CT-dosed mice (FIG. 9B-D). Subsequent to i.n. challenge with OVA+CT and then tested for a DTH response, no DTH 45 reactions were detected when compared to OVA+CT-dosed mice (FIG. 9E). A separate group of mice also was orally fed AR1 and peripherally challenged with OVA+CT, and these too were unresponsive in this DTH assay. Thus, the modification of OVA-01 with encephalitogenic peptides did not 50 induce tolerance (Fujihashi et al., Acta. Odontol Scand. interfere with its ability to elicit OVA tolerance.

To test whether tolerance to the fused encephalitogenic peptides was induced by evaluating the efficacy of AR1 against PLP₁₃₉₋₁₅₁ challenge, SJL/J mice were nasally given AR1 as described in FIG. 9. For a positive oral tolerance 55 control group, myelin basic protein was given seven times every 2 days over a 2-wk course. As a negative control group, mice were dosed with PBS. Three wks after the onset of treatments, mice were challenged s.c. with emulsified $PLP_{139-151}$ following standard protocols, and pertussis toxin 60 (PT) was given i.p. A second PT dose was given two days later. Following this challenge protocol, mice typically show clinical disease beginning ~9 days. The AR1 protected against EAE as evidenced by reduced clinical disease (FIG. 10A). 65

In addition, C57BL/6 mice were nasally dosed with 50 µg myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein₂₉₋₁₄₆ genetically fused

to po1 (MOG-po1) or to OVA-o1 (MOG:OVA-po1) three times at weekly intervals, and then one week after the last i.n. dose, mice were challenged s.c. with $150 \,\mu g \, MOG_{35-33}$ on day 0 and 7 of challenge, and given i.v. PT on days 0 and 2. Both the MOG-po1 (n=5) and MOG;OVA-o1 (n=5) protected mice (p < 0.001) when compared to PBS-dosed mice (n=5)(FIG. 10B).

Protection against $PLP_{139-151}$ challenge is attributed to the stimulation of the regulatory cytokines, IL-4, IL-10, and TGF- β . SJL mice were dosed with Ar1, OVA- σ 1, or PBS as described for FIG. 9. Mice were then challenged with PLP_{139-} 151 peptide as described for FIG. 10. HNLN, spleens, and MLN were harvested at peak of disease (day 14) and purified CD4⁺ T cells were restimulated with $PLP_{139-151}$ peptide for two days, and evaluated in a cytokine ELISPOT. PBS- and OVA-po1-dosed (unprotected mice) showed elevated (FIG. 11A) IFN- γ and (FIG. 11B) IL-17 cytokine-forming cells (CFC), and no (FIG. 11C) IL-4, (FIG. 11D) IL-10, or (FIG. 11E) TGF-β CFC. In contrast, AR1-dosed (tolerized) mice showed elevated IL-4, IL-10, and TGF-β CFC and no IFN-γ or IL-17 CFC. Thus, only AR1 mice were protected against challenge, and tolerance induced to irrelevant protein (OVA $p\sigma 1$) did confer protection.

It was also determined that single nasal or oral dose with MOG-po1 protects C57BL/6 mice against challenge with MOG₃₅₋₅₅. Mice (5/group) were dosed once (FIG. 12A) nasally or (FIG. 12B) orally with 10, 50, or 100 µg of MOG_{29-146} -p σ 1 (MOG-p σ 1) or with PBS, and 10 days later challenged with MOG_{35-55} per description for FIG. 10. In a dose-dependent fashion, protection against autoimmune challenge showed protection, but the 50 µg dose conferred the best protection with no disease, while minimal disease was observed at the 10 or 100 µg doses. Thus, po1 delivery in the form of a fusion protein is an effective means to deliver auto-antigens to the mucosa for the development of tolerance to self antigens.

To test whether po1-mediated treatment could be therapeutic, a study was performed using MOG-po1 to stop further development of EAE. Four groups (5/group) of mice were induced with EAE as described in FIG. 10B. Then, groups were treated with MOG-po1 or PBS on day 7 or groups were treated with MOG-po1 or PBS on day 10 and 17. Results are shown in FIG. 13. Treatment with MOG- $p\sigma$ 1 demonstrated that protection against further disease development can be conferred suggesting that pol-delivered toleragens can treat autoimmune diseases.

Significance Statement

Studies to date have mostly relied upon oral exposure to 59:301-308, 2001; Mowat, Nature Rev. Immunol. 3:331-341, 2003; Weiner, Microbes & Infection 3:947-954, 2001) whereas most recently, studies have addressed the potential of adapting i.n. delivery (Collins et al., Infect. Immun. 70:2282-2287, 2002; Monfardini et al., J. Neuroimmunol. 123:123-134, 2002; Winkler et al., Clin. Exp. Allergy. 32:30-36, 2002). The i.n. route clearly has a number of advantages, including less antigen dose required, not subjecting the toleragen to alteration by the GI tract, and ease of delivery. In addition to considering route of delivery, efficient targeting of toleragens to mucosal inductive tissues could reduce the amount of material needed for stimulation of tolerance regardless the route of delivery.

A particular strength of the system described herein is that it can be applied to any number of toleragens that could be successfully fused to $p\sigma 1$, or another mucosal binding molecule as provided herein. Without meaning to be limited to a single explanation, we propose that $p\sigma 1$ can circumvent the mucosal barrier and promote the uptake of toleragens by the mucosal immune system, whether mediated via M cells, host epithelial cells, or their combination. Ultimately, T cell responsiveness will occur in the mucosal inductive sites or ⁵ draining mucosal LN. This toleragen delivery platform has promise in that a single oral administration, and in some instances a single nasal application, can elicit tolerance.

In view of the many possible embodiments to which the principles of the disclosed invention may be applied, it should be recognized that the illustrated embodiments are only preferred examples of the invention and should not be taken as limiting the scope of the invention. We therefore claim as our invention all that comes within the scope and spirit of the description, embodiments of which are described specifically in the following claims.

<	<160)> NU	JMBEI	R OF	SEQ	ID 1	10S :	12									
	<210 <211 <212 <213)> SI L> LI 2> T 3> OF	EQ II ENGTI YPE : RGANI FATUI	D NO H: 1' DNA ISM:	1 749 Ader	novi	rus										
•	<221 <222	L> NA 2> L(AME/I DCAT:	KEY: ION:	CDS (1)	(1	749)										
~	400)> SI	EQUEI	NCE:	1												
a N 1	atg Net L	aaa Lys	cgc Arg	gcc Ala	aga Arg 5	ccg Pro	tct Ser	gaa Glu	gac Asp	acc Thr 10	ttc Phe	aac Asn	ccc Pro	gtg Val	tat Tyr 15	cca Pro	48
t T	at Tyr	gac Asp	aca Thr	gaa Glu 20	acc Thr	gly ggg	cct Pro	cca Pro	act Thr 25	gtg Val	ccc Pro	ttt Phe	ctt Leu	acc Thr 30	cct Pro	cca Pro	96
t I	tt Phe	gtt Val	tca Ser 35	ccc Pro	aat Asn	ggt Gly	ttc Phe	caa Gln 40	gaa Glu	agt Ser	ccc Pro	cct Pro	999 Gly 45	gtt Val	ctc Leu	tct Ser	144
C I	ta Leu	cgc Arg 50	gtc Val	tcc Ser	gaa Glu	cct Pro	ttg Leu 55	gac Asp	acc Thr	tcc Ser	cac His	ggc Gly 60	atg Met	ctt Leu	gcg Ala	ctt Leu	192
a I e	aaa Lys 55	atg Met	ggc Gly	agc Ser	ggt Gly	ctt Leu 70	acc Thr	cta Leu	gac Asp	aag Lys	gcc Ala 75	gga Gly	aac Asn	ctc Leu	acc Thr	tcc Ser 80	240
Ċ	caa Sln	aat Asn	gta Val	acc Thr	act Thr 85	gtt Val	act Thr	cag Gln	cca Pro	ctt Leu 90	aaa Lys	aaa Lys	aca Thr	aag Lys	tca Ser 95	aac Asn	288
a J	ata [le	agt Ser	ttg Leu	gac Asp 100	acc Thr	tcc Ser	gca Ala	cca Pro	ctt Leu 105	aca Thr	att Ile	acc Thr	tca Ser	ggc Gly 110	gcc Ala	cta Leu	336
a 1	aca ſhr	gtg Val	gca Ala 115	acc Thr	acc Thr	gct Ala	cct Pro	ctg Leu 120	ata Ile	gtt Val	act Thr	agc Ser	ggc Gly 125	gct Ala	ctt Leu	agc Ser	384
ç T	gta /al	cag Gln 130	tca Ser	caa Gln	gcc Ala	cca Pro	ctg Leu 135	acc Thr	gtg Val	caa Gln	gac Asp	tcc Ser 140	aaa Lys	cta Leu	agc Ser	att Ile	432
9 7 1	gct \la L45	act Thr	aaa Lys	glà dad	ccc Pro	att Ile 150	aca Thr	gtg Val	tca Ser	gat Asp	gga Gly 155	aag Lys	cta Leu	gcc Ala	ctg Leu	caa Gln 160	480
á	aca Thr	tca Ser	gcc Ala	ccc Pro	ctc Leu 165	tct Ser	ggc Gly	agt Ser	gac Asp	agc Ser 170	gac Asp	acc Thr	ctt Leu	act Thr	gta Val 175	act Thr	528
2	gca Ala	tca Ser	ccc Pro	ccg Pro 180	cta Leu	act Thr	act Thr	gcc Ala	atg Met 185	ggt Gly	agc Ser	ttg Leu	ggc Gly	att Ile 190	aac Asn	atg Met	576
0	gaa Glu	gat Asp	cct Pro 195	att Ile	tat Tyr	gta Val	aat Asn	aat Asn 200	gga Gly	aaa Lys	ata Ile	gga Gly	att Ile 205	aaa Lys	ata Ile	agc Ser	624
ç	ggt	cct	ttg	caa	gta	gca	caa	aac	tcc	gat	aca	cta	aca	gta	gtt	act	672

SEQUENCE LISTING

Gly	Pro 210	Leu	Gln	Val	Ala	Gln 215	Asn	Ser	Asp	Thr	Leu 220	Thr	Val	Val	Thr		
gga Gly 225	cca Pro	ggt Gly	gtc Val	acc Thr	gtt Val 230	gaa Glu	caa Gln	aac Asn	tcc Ser	ctt Leu 235	aga Arg	acc Thr	aaa Lys	gtt Val	gca Ala 240	720	
gga Gly	gct Ala	att Ile	ggt Gly	tat Tyr 245	gat Asp	tca Ser	tca Ser	aac Asn	aac Asn 250	atg Met	gaa Glu	att Ile	aaa Lys	acg Thr 255	ggc Gly	768	
ggt Gly	ggc Gly	atg Met	cgt Arg 260	ata Ile	aat Asn	aac Asn	aac Asn	ttg Leu 265	tta Leu	att Ile	cta Leu	gat Asp	gtg Val 270	gat Asp	tac Tyr	816	
cca Pro	ttt Phe	gat Asp 275	gct Ala	caa Gln	aca Thr	aaa Lys	cta Leu 280	cgt Arg	ctt Leu	aaa Lys	ctg Leu	999 Gly 285	cag Gln	gga Gly	ccc Pro	864	
ctg Leu	tat Tyr 290	att Ile	aat Asn	gca Ala	tct Ser	cat His 295	aac Asn	ttg Leu	gac Asp	ata Ile	aac Asn 300	tat Tyr	aac Asn	aga Arg	ggc Gly	912	
cta Leu 305	tac Tyr	ctt Leu	ttt Phe	aat Asn	gca Ala 310	tca Ser	aac Asn	aat Asn	act Thr	aaa Lys 315	aaa Lys	ctg Leu	gaa Glu	gtt Val	agc Ser 320	960	
ata Ile	aaa Lys	aaa Lys	tcc Ser	agt Ser 325	gga Gly	cta Leu	aac Asn	ttt Phe	gat Asp 330	aat Asn	act Thr	gcc Ala	ata Ile	gct Ala 335	ata Ile	1008	
aat Asn	gca Ala	gga Gly	aag Lys 340	ggt Gly	ctg Leu	gag Glu	ttt Phe	gat Asp 345	aca Thr	aac Asn	aca Thr	tct Ser	gag Glu 350	tct Ser	cca Pro	1056	
gat Asp	atc Ile	aac Asn 355	cca Pro	ata Ile	aaa Lys	act Thr	aaa Lys 360	att Ile	ggc Gly	tct Ser	ggc Gly	att Ile 365	gat Asp	tac Tyr	aat Asn	1104	
gaa Glu	aac Asn 370	ggt Gly	gcc Ala	atg Met	att Ile	act Thr 375	aaa Lys	ctt Leu	gga Gly	gcg Ala	ggt Gly 380	tta Leu	agc Ser	ttt Phe	gac Asp	1152	
aac Asn 385	tca Ser	ggg Gly	gcc Ala	att Ile	aca Thr 390	ata Ile	gga Gly	aac Asn	aaa Lys	aat Asn 395	gat Asp	gac Asp	aaa Lys	ctt Leu	acc Thr 400	1200	
ctg Leu	tgg Trp	aca Thr	acc Thr	cca Pro 405	gac Asp	cca Pro	tct Ser	cct Pro	aac Asn 410	tgc Cys	aga Arg	att Ile	cat His	tca Ser 415	gat Asp	1248	
aat Asn	gac Asp	tgc Cys	aaa Lys 420	ttt Phe	act Thr	ttg Leu	gtt Val	ctt Leu 425	aca Thr	aaa Lys	tgt Cys	glà aaa	agt Ser 430	caa Gln	gta Val	1296	
cta Leu	gct Ala	act Thr 435	gta Val	gct Ala	gct Ala	ttg Leu	gct Ala 440	gta Val	tct Ser	gga Gly	gat Asp	ctt Leu 445	tca Ser	tcc Ser	atg Met	1344	
aca Thr	ggc Gly 450	acc Thr	gtt Val	gca Ala	agt Ser	gtt Val 455	agt Ser	ata Ile	ttc Phe	ctt Leu	aga Arg 460	ttt Phe	gac Asp	caa Gln	aac Asn	1392	
ggt Gly 465	gtt Val	cta Leu	atg Met	gag Glu	aac Asn 470	tcc Ser	tca Ser	ctt Leu	aaa Lys	aaa Lys 475	cat His	tac Tyr	tgg Trp	aac Asn	ttt Phe 480	1440	
aga Arg	aat Asn	glÀ aaa	aac Asn	tca Ser 485	act Thr	aat Asn	gca Ala	aat Asn	cca Pro 490	tac Tyr	aca Thr	aat Asn	gca Ala	gtt Val 495	gga Gly	1488	
ttt Phe	atg Met	cct Pro	aac Asn 500	ctt Leu	cta Leu	gcc Ala	tat Tyr	cca Pro 505	aaa Lys	acc Thr	caa Gln	agt Ser	caa Gln 510	act Thr	gct Ala	1536	
aaa Lys	aat Asn	aac Asn 515	att Ile	gtc Val	agt Ser	caa Gln	gtt Val 520	tac Tyr	ttg Leu	cat His	ggt Gly	gat Asp 525	aaa Lys	act Thr	aaa Lys	1584	

cct atg ata ctt acc att aca ctt aat ggc act agt gaa tcc aca gaa 1632

-continued

													0 111			
Pro	Met 530	Ile	Leu	Thr	Ile	Thr 535	Leu	Asn	Gly	Thr	Ser 540	Glu	Ser	Thr	Glu	
act Thr 545	agc Ser	gag Glu	gta Val	agc Ser	act Thr 550	tac Tyr	tct Ser	atg Met	tct Ser	ttt Phe 555	aca Thr	tgg Trp	tcc Ser	tgg Trp	gaa Glu 560	1680
agt Ser	gga Gly	aaa Lys	tac Tyr	acc Thr 565	act Thr	gaa Glu	act Thr	ttt Phe	gct Ala 570	acc Thr	aac Asn	tct Ser	tac Tyr	acc Thr 575	ttc Phe	1728
tcc Ser	tac Tyr	att Ile	gcc Ala 580	cag Gln	gaa Glu	taa										1749
<21 <21 <21	0> SI 1> LI 2> T 3> OI	EQ II ENGTH YPE : RGAN	D NO H: 58 PRT	2 32 Adei	novi	rus										
<40	0> SI	EQUEI	ICE :	2												
Met 1	Lys	Arg	Ala	Arg 5	Pro	Ser	Glu	Asp	Thr 10	Phe	Asn	Pro	Val	Tyr 15	Pro	
Tyr	Asp	Thr	Glu 20	Thr	Gly	Pro	Pro	Thr 25	Val	Pro	Phe	Leu	Thr 30	Pro	Pro	
Phe	Val	Ser 35	Pro	Asn	Gly	Phe	Gln 40	Glu	Ser	Pro	Pro	Gly 45	Val	Leu	Ser	
Leu	Arg 50	Val	Ser	Glu	Pro	Leu 55	Asp	Thr	Ser	His	Gly 60	Met	Leu	Ala	Leu	
Lys 65	Met	Gly	Ser	Gly	Leu 70	Thr	Leu	Asp	Lys	Ala 75	Gly	Asn	Leu	Thr	Ser 80	
Gln	Asn	Val	Thr	Thr 85	Val	Thr	Gln	Pro	Leu 90	Lys	ГЛЗ	Thr	Гла	Ser 95	Asn	
Ile	Ser	Leu	Asp 100	Thr	Ser	Ala	Pro	Leu 105	Thr	Ile	Thr	Ser	Gly 110	Ala	Leu	
Thr	Val	Ala 115	Thr	Thr	Ala	Pro	Leu 120	Ile	Val	Thr	Ser	Gly 125	Ala	Leu	Ser	
Val	Gln 130	Ser	Gln	Ala	Pro	Leu 135	Thr	Val	Gln	Asp	Ser 140	ГЛЗ	Leu	Ser	Ile	
Ala 145	Thr	Lys	Gly	Pro	Ile 150	Thr	Val	Ser	Asp	Gly 155	ГЛа	Leu	Ala	Leu	Gln 160	
Thr	Ser	Ala	Pro	Leu 165	Ser	Gly	Ser	Asp	Ser 170	Asp	Thr	Leu	Thr	Val 175	Thr	
Ala	Ser	Pro	Pro 180	Leu	Thr	Thr	Ala	Met 185	Gly	Ser	Leu	Gly	Ile 190	Asn	Met	
Glu	Asp	Pro 195	Ile	Tyr	Val	Asn	Asn 200	Gly	Lys	Ile	Gly	Ile 205	ГЛа	Ile	Ser	
Gly	Pro 210	Leu	Gln	Val	Ala	Gln 215	Asn	Ser	Asp	Thr	Leu 220	Thr	Val	Val	Thr	
Gly 225	Pro	Gly	Val	Thr	Val 230	Glu	Gln	Asn	Ser	Leu 235	Arg	Thr	Гла	Val	Ala 240	
Gly	Ala	Ile	Gly	Tyr 245	Asp	Ser	Ser	Asn	Asn 250	Met	Glu	Ile	Гла	Thr 255	Gly	
Gly	Gly	Met	Arg 260	Ile	Asn	Asn	Asn	Leu 265	Leu	Ile	Leu	Asp	Val 270	Asp	Tyr	
Pro	Phe	Asp 275	Ala	Gln	Thr	ГЛа	Leu 280	Arg	Leu	Lys	Leu	Gly 285	Gln	Gly	Pro	
Leu	Tyr 290	Ile	Asn	Ala	Ser	His 295	Asn	Leu	Asp	Ile	Asn 300	Tyr	Asn	Arg	Gly	

Leu Tyr Leu Phe Asn Ala Ser Asn Asn Thr Lys Lys Leu Glu Val Ser 305 310 315 320	
Ile Lys Lys Ser Ser Gly Leu Asn Phe Asp Asn Thr Ala Ile Ala Ile 325 330 335	
Asn Ala Gly Lys Gly Leu Glu Phe Asp Thr Asn Thr Ser Glu Ser Pro 340 345 350	
Asp Ile Asn Pro Ile Lys Thr Lys Ile Gly Ser Gly Ile Asp Tyr Asn 355 360 365	
Glu Asn Gly Ala Met Ile Thr Lys Leu Gly Ala Gly Leu Ser Phe Asp 370 375 380	
Asn Ser Gly Ala Ile Thr Ile Gly Asn Lys Asn Asp Asp Lys Leu Thr 385 390 395 400	
Leu Trp Thr Thr Pro Asp Pro Ser Pro Asn Cys Arg Ile His Ser Asp 405 410 415	
Asn Asp Cys Lys Phe Thr Leu Val Leu Thr Lys Cys Gly Ser Gln Val 420 425 430	
Leu Ala Thr Val Ala Ala Leu Ala Val Ser Gly Asp Leu Ser Ser Met	
Thr Gly Thr Val Ala Ser Val Ser Ile Phe Leu Arg Phe Asp Gln Asn	
Gly Val Leu Met Glu Asn Ser Ser Leu Lys Lys His Tyr Trp Asn Phe	
465 470 475 480 Arg Asn Gly Asn Ser Thr Asn Ala Asn Pro Tyr Thr Asn Ala Val Gly	
485 490 495 Phe Met Pro Asn Leu Leu Ala Tyr Pro Lys Thr Gln Ser Gln Thr Ala	
500 505 510 Lys Asn Asn Ile Val Ser Gln Val Tyr Leu His Gly Asp Lys Thr Lys	
515 520 525 Pro Met Ile Leu Thr Ile Thr Leu Asn Gly Thr Ser Glu Ser Thr Glu	
530 535 540 Thr Ser Glu Val Ser Thr Tvr Ser Met Ser Phe Thr Trp Ser Trp Glu	
545 550 555 560	
Ser Gly Lys Tyr Thr Thr Glu Thr Phe Ala Thr Asn Ser Tyr Thr Phe 565 570 575	
Ser Tyr Ile Ala Gln Glu 580	
<210> SEQ ID NO 3 <211> LENGTH: 1416 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Reovirus <220> FEATURE: <221> NAME/KEY: CDS <222> LOCATION: (13)(1380)	
<400> SEQUENCE: 3	
gctattggtc gg atg gat cct cgc cta cgt gaa gaa gta gta cgg ctg ata Met Asp Pro Arg Leu Arg Glu Glu Val Val Arg Leu Ile 1 5 10	51
atc gca tta acg agt gat aat gga gca tca ctg tca aaa ggg ctt gaa	99
Ile Ala Leu Thr Ser Asp Asn Gly Ala Ser Leu Ser Lys Gly Leu Glu 15 20 25	
tca agg gtc tcg gcg ctc gag aag acg tct caa ata cac tct gat act Ser Arg Val Ser Ala Leu Glu Lys Thr Ser Gln Ile His Ser Asp Thr 30 35 40 45	147
atc ctc cgg atc acc cag gga ctc gat gat gca aac aaa cga atc atc	195
Ile Leu Arg Ile Thr Gln Gly Leu Asp Asp Ala Asn Lys Arg Ile Ile 50 55 60	

US 7,910,113 B2

gct Ala	ctt Leu	gag Glu	caa Gln 65	agt Ser	cgg Arg	gat Asp	gac Asp	ttg Leu 70	gtt Val	gca Ala	tca Ser	gtc Val	agt Ser 75	gat Asp	gct Ala	243
caa Gln	ctt Leu	gca Ala 80	atc Ile	tcc Ser	aga Arg	ttg Leu	gaa Glu 85	agc Ser	tct Ser	atc Ile	gga Gly	gcc Ala 90	ctc Leu	caa Gln	aca Thr	291
gtt Val	gtc Val 95	aat Asn	gga Gly	ctt Leu	gat Asp	tcg Ser 100	agt Ser	gtt Val	acc Thr	cag Gln	ttg Leu 105	ggt Gly	gct Ala	cga Arg	gtg Val	339
gga Gly 110	caa Gln	ctt Leu	gag Glu	aca Thr	gga Gly 115	ctt Leu	gca Ala	gac Asp	gta Val	cgc Arg 120	gtt Val	gat Asp	cac His	gac Asp	aat Asn 125	387
ctc Leu	gtt Val	gcg Ala	aga Arg	gtg Val 130	gat Asp	act Thr	gca Ala	gaa Glu	cgt Arg 135	aac Asn	att Ile	gga Gly	tca Ser	ttg Leu 140	acc Thr	435
act Thr	gag Glu	cta Leu	tca Ser 145	act Thr	ctg Leu	acg Thr	tta Leu	cga Arg 150	gta Val	aca Thr	tcc Ser	ata Ile	caa Gln 155	gcg Ala	gat Asp	483
ttc Phe	gaa Glu	tct Ser 160	agg Arg	ata Ile	tcc Ser	acg Thr	tta Leu 165	gag Glu	cgc Arg	acg Thr	gcg Ala	gtc Val 170	act Thr	agc Ser	gcg Ala	531
gga Gly	gct Ala 175	ccc Pro	ctc Leu	tca Ser	atc Ile	cgt Arg 180	aat Asn	aac Asn	cgt Arg	atg Met	acc Thr 185	atg Met	gga Gly	tta Leu	aat Asn	579
gat Asp 190	gga Gly	ctc Leu	acg Thr	ttg Leu	tca Ser 195	ggg Gly	aat Asn	aat Asn	ctc Leu	gcc Ala 200	atc Ile	cga Arg	ttg Leu	cca Pro	gga Gly 205	627
aat Asn	acg Thr	ggt Gly	ctg Leu	aat Asn 210	att Ile	caa Gln	aat Asn	ggt Gly	gga Gly 215	ctt Leu	cag Gln	ttt Phe	cga Arg	ttt Phe 220	aat Asn	675
act Thr	gat Asp	caa Gln	ttc Phe 225	cag Gln	ata Ile	gtt Val	aat Asn	aat Asn 230	aac Asn	ttg Leu	act Thr	ctc Leu	aag Lys 235	acg Thr	act Thr	723
gtg Val	ttt Phe	gat Asp 240	tct Ser	atc Ile	aac Asn	tca Ser	agg Arg 245	ata Ile	ggc Gly	gca Ala	act Thr	gag Glu 250	caa Gln	agt Ser	tac Tyr	771
gtg Val	gcg Ala 255	tcg Ser	gca Ala	gtg Val	act Thr	ccc Pro 260	ttg Leu	aga Arg	tta Leu	aac Asn	agt Ser 265	agc Ser	acg Thr	aag Lys	gtg Val	819
ctg Leu 270	gat Asp	atg Met	cta Leu	ata Ile	gac Asp 275	agt Ser	tca Ser	aca Thr	ctt Leu	gaa Glu 280	att Ile	aat Asn	tct Ser	agt Ser	gga Gly 285	867
cag Gln	cta Leu	act Thr	gtt Val	aga Arg 290	tcg Ser	aca Thr	tcc Ser	ccg Pro	aat Asn 295	ttg Leu	agg Arg	tat Tyr	ccg Pro	ata Ile 300	gct Ala	915
gat Asp	gtt Val	agc Ser	ggc Gly 305	ggt Gly	atc Ile	gga Gly	atg Met	agt Ser 310	cca Pro	aat Asn	tat Tyr	agg Arg	ttt Phe 315	agg Arg	cag Gln	963
agc Ser	atg Met	tgg Trp 320	ata Ile	gga Gly	att Ile	gtc Val	tcc Ser 325	tat Tyr	tct Ser	ggt Gly	agt Ser	999 330	ctg Leu	aat Asn	tgg Trp	1011
agg Arg	gta Val 335	cag Gln	gtg Val	aac Asn	tcc Ser	gac Asp 340	att Ile	ttt Phe	att Ile	gta Val	gat Asp 345	gat Asp	tac Tyr	ata Ile	cat His	1059
ata Ile 350	tgt Cys	ctt Leu	cca Pro	gct Ala	ttt Phe 355	gac Asp	ggt Gly	ttc Phe	tct Ser	ata Ile 360	gct Ala	gac Asp	ggt Gly	gga Gly	gat Asp 365	1107
cta Leu	tcg Ser	ttg Leu	aac Asn	ttt Phe 370	gtt Val	acc Thr	gga Gly	ttg Leu	tta Leu 375	cca Pro	ccg Pro	tta Leu	ctt Leu	aca Thr 380	gga Gly	1155

gac a Asp T	ict 'hr	gag Glu	ccc Pro 385	gct Ala	ttt Phe	cat His	aat Asn	gac Asp 390	gtg Val	gtc Val	aca Thr	tat Tyr	gga Gly 395	gca Ala	cag Gln	1203
act g Thr V	ıta 'al	gct Ala 400	ata Ile	ggg Gly	ttg Leu	tcg Ser	tcg Ser 405	ggt Gly	ggt Gly	gcg Ala	cct Pro	cag Gln 410	tat Tyr	atg Met	agt Ser	1251
aag a Lys A 4	at sn 15	ctg Leu	tgg Trp	gtg Val	gag Glu	cag Gln 420	tgg Trp	cag Gln	gat Asp	gga Gly	gta Val 425	ctt Leu	cgg Arg	tta Leu	cgt Arg	1299
gtt g Val G 430	Jag Slu	д1У ддд	ggt Gly	ggc Gly	tca Ser 435	att Ile	acg Thr	cac His	tca Ser	aac Asn 440	agt Ser	aag Lys	tgg Trp	cct Pro	gcc Ala 445	1347
atg a Met T	icc 'hr	gtt Val	tcg Ser	tac Tyr 450	ccg Pro	cgt Arg	agt Ser	ttc Phe	acg Thr 455	tga	ggat	ccaga	acc a	accco	cgcggc	1400
actgg	lggc	at t	tcat	c												1416
<210> <211> <212> <213>	- SE - LE - TY - OR	Q II NGTH PE : .GANI) NO H: 49 PRT SM:	4 55 Reov	viru:	3										
<400>	SE	QUEN	ICE :	4												
Met A 1	ab	Pro	Arg	Leu 5	Arg	Glu	Glu	Val	Val 10	Arg	Leu	Ile	Ile	Ala 15	Leu	
Thr S	er	Asp	Asn 20	Gly	Ala	Ser	Leu	Ser 25	Lys	Gly	Leu	Glu	Ser 30	Arg	Val	
Ser A	la	Leu 35	Glu	Lys	Thr	Ser	Gln 40	Ile	His	Ser	Asp	Thr 45	Ile	Leu	Arg	
Ile T 5	'hr 50	Gln	Gly	Leu	Asp	Asp 55	Ala	Asn	Lys	Arg	Ile 60	Ile	Ala	Leu	Glu	
Gln S 65	er	Arg	Asp	Asp	Leu 70	Val	Ala	Ser	Val	Ser 75	Asp	Ala	Gln	Leu	Ala 80	
Ile S	er	Arg	Leu	Glu 85	Ser	Ser	Ile	Gly	Ala 90	Leu	Gln	Thr	Val	Val 95	Asn	
Gly L	eu	Asp	Ser 100	Ser	Val	Thr	Gln	Leu 105	Gly	Ala	Arg	Val	Gly 110	Gln	Leu	
Glu T	'hr	Gly 115	Leu	Ala	Asp	Val	Arg 120	Val	Asb	His	Asp	Asn 125	Leu	Val	Ala	
Arg V 1	al 30	Asp	Thr	Ala	Glu	Arg 135	Asn	Ile	Gly	Ser	Leu 140	Thr	Thr	Glu	Leu	
Ser T 145	'hr	Leu	Thr	Leu	Arg 150	Val	Thr	Ser	Ile	Gln 155	Ala	Asp	Phe	Glu	Ser 160	
Arg I	le	Ser	Thr	Leu 165	Glu	Arg	Thr	Ala	Val 170	Thr	Ser	Ala	Gly	Ala 175	Pro	
Leu S	er	Ile	Arg 180	Asn	Asn	Arg	Met	Thr 185	Met	Gly	Leu	Asn	Asp 190	Gly	Leu	
Thr L	eu	Ser 195	Gly	Asn	Asn	Leu	Ala 200	Ile	Arg	Leu	Pro	Gly 205	Asn	Thr	Gly	
Leu A 2	sn 10	Ile	Gln	Asn	Gly	Gly 215	Leu	Gln	Phe	Arg	Phe 220	Asn	Thr	Asp	Gln	
Phe G 225	ln	Ile	Val	Asn	Asn 230	Asn	Leu	Thr	Leu	Lys 235	Thr	Thr	Val	Phe	Asp 240	
Ser I	le	Asn	Ser	Arg 245	Ile	Gly	Ala	Thr	Glu 250	Gln	Ser	Tyr	Val	Ala 255	Ser	
Ala V	al	Thr	Pro	Leu	Arg	Leu	Asn	Ser	Ser	Thr	Lys	Val	Leu	Asp	Met	

											-	con	tin	ued			_
			260					265					270				
Leu	Ile	Asp 275	Ser	Ser	Thr	Leu	Glu 280	Ile	Asn	Ser	Ser	Gly 285	Gln	Leu	Thr		
Val	Arg 290	Ser	Thr	Ser	Pro	Asn 295	Leu	Arg	Tyr	Pro	Ile 300	Ala	Asp	Val	Ser		
Gly 305	Gly	Ile	Gly	Met	Ser 310	Pro	Asn	Tyr	Arg	Phe 315	Arg	Gln	Ser	Met	Trp 320		
Ile	Gly	Ile	Val	Ser 325	Tyr	Ser	Gly	Ser	Gly 330	Leu	Asn	Trp	Arg	Val 335	Gln		
Val	Asn	Ser	Asp 340	Ile	Phe	Ile	Val	Asp 345	Asp	Tyr	Ile	His	Ile 350	Суз	Leu		
Pro	Ala	Phe 355	Asp	Gly	Phe	Ser	Ile 360	Ala	Asp	Gly	Gly	Asp 365	Leu	Ser	Leu		
Asn	Phe 370	Val	Thr	Gly	Leu	Leu 375	Pro	Pro	Leu	Leu	Thr 380	Gly	Asp	Thr	Glu		
Pro 385	Ala	Phe	His	Asn	Asp 390	Val	Val	Thr	Tyr	Gly 395	Ala	Gln	Thr	Val	Ala 400		
Ile	Gly	Leu	Ser	Ser 405	Gly	Gly	Ala	Pro	Gln 410	Tyr	Met	Ser	Lys	Asn 415	Leu		
Trp	Val	Glu	Gln 420	Trp	Gln	Asp	Gly	Val 425	Leu	Arg	Leu	Arg	Val 430	Glu	Gly		
Gly	Gly	Ser 435	Ile	Thr	His	Ser	Asn 440	Ser	Lys	Trp	Pro	Ala 445	Met	Thr	Val		
Ser	Tyr 450	Pro	Arg	Ser	Phe	Thr 455											
<21 <21 <21 <21 <22 <22 <22 <22	1> LI 2> T 3> OI 0> FI 1> N 2> L(ENGTH PE: RGAN EATUH AME/H DCAT	H: 10 DNA ISM: RE: KEY: ION:	D62 Adei CDS (1)	novi: (1	rus 062)											
<40	0> SI	EQUEI	ICE :	5													
atg Met 1	gcc Ala	aaa Lys	cga Arg	gct Ala 5	cgg Arg	cta Leu	agc Ser	agc Ser	tcc Ser 10	ttc Phe	aat Asn	ccg Pro	gtc Val	tac Tyr 15	ccc Pro	48	
tat Tyr	gaa Glu	gat Asp	gaa Glu 20	agc Ser	agc Ser	tca Ser	caa Gln	cac His 25	ccc Pro	ttt Phe	ata Ile	aac Asn	cct Pro 30	ggt Gly	ttc Phe	96	
att Ile	tcc Ser	tca Ser 35	aat Asn	ggt Gly	ttt Phe	gca Ala	caa Gln 40	agc Ser	cca Pro	gat Asp	gga Gly	gtt Val 45	cta Leu	act Thr	ctt Leu	144	
aaa Lys	tgt Cys 50	gtt Val	aat Asn	cca Pro	ctc Leu	act Thr 55	acc Thr	gcc Ala	agc Ser	gga Gly	ccc Pro 60	ctc Leu	caa Gln	ctt Leu	aaa Lys	192	
gtt Val 65	gga Gly	agc Ser	agt Ser	ctt Leu	aca Thr 70	gta Val	gat Asp	act Thr	atc Ile	gat Asp 75	glà aaa	tct Ser	ttg Leu	gag Glu	gaa Glu 80	240	
aat Asn	ata Ile	act Thr	gcc Ala	gca Ala 85	gcg Ala	cca Pro	ctc Leu	act Thr	aaa Lys 90	act Thr	aac Asn	cac His	tcc Ser	ata Ile 95	ggt Gly	288	
tta Leu	tta Leu	ata Ile	gga Gly 100	tct Ser	ggc Gly	ttg Leu	caa Gln	aca Thr 105	aag Lys	gat Asp	gat Asp	aaa Lys	ctt Leu 110	tgt Cys	tta Leu	336	
tcg Ser	ctg Leu	gga Gly 115	gat Asp	д1у 999	ttg Leu	gta Val	aca Thr 120	aag Lys	gat Asp	gat Asp	aaa Lys	cta Leu 125	tgt Cys	tta Leu	tcg Ser	384	

ctg Leu	gga Gly 130	gat Asp	ggg ggg	tta Leu	ata Ile	aca Thr 135	aaa Lys	aat Asn	gat Asp	gta Val	cta Leu 140	tgt Cys	gcc Ala	aaa Lys	cta Leu	432
gga Gly 145	cat His	ggc Gly	ctt Leu	gtg Val	ttt Phe 150	gac Asp	tct Ser	tcc Ser	aat Asn	gct Ala 155	atc Ile	acc Thr	ata Ile	gaa Glu	aac Asn 160	480
aac Asn	acc Thr	ttg Leu	tgg Trp	aca Thr 165	ggc Gly	gca Ala	aaa Lys	cca Pro	agc Ser 170	gcc Ala	aac Asn	tgt Cys	gta Val	att Ile 175	aaa Lys	528
gag Glu	gga Gly	gaa Glu	gat Asp 180	tcc Ser	cca Pro	gac Asp	tgt Cys	aag Lys 185	ctc Leu	act Thr	tta Leu	gtt Val	cta Leu 190	gtg Val	aag Lys	576
aat Asn	gga Gly	gga Gly 195	ctg Leu	ata Ile	aat Asn	gga Gly	tac Tyr 200	ata Ile	aca Thr	tta Leu	atg Met	gga Gly 205	gcc Ala	tca Ser	gaa Glu	624
tat Tyr	act Thr 210	aac Asn	acc Thr	ttg Leu	ttt Phe	aaa Lys 215	aac Asn	aat Asn	caa Gln	gtt Val	aca Thr 220	atc Ile	gat Asp	gta Val	aac Asn	672
ctc Leu 225	gca Ala	ttt Phe	gat Asp	aat Asn	act Thr 230	ggc Gly	caa Gln	att Ile	att Ile	act Thr 235	tac Tyr	cta Leu	tca Ser	tcc Ser	ctt Leu 240	720
aaa Lys	agt Ser	aac Asn	ctg Leu	aac Asn 245	ttt Phe	aaa Lys	gac Asp	aac Asn	caa Gln 250	aac Asn	atg Met	gct Ala	act Thr	gga Gly 255	acc Thr	768
ata Ile	acc Thr	agt Ser	gcc Ala 260	aaa Lys	ggc Gly	ttc Phe	atg Met	ccc Pro 265	agc Ser	acc Thr	acc Thr	gcc Ala	tat Tyr 270	cca Pro	ttt Phe	816
ata Ile	aca Thr	tac Tyr 275	gcc Ala	act Thr	gag Glu	acc Thr	cta Leu 280	aat Asn	gaa Glu	gat Asp	tac Tyr	att Ile 285	tat Tyr	gga Gly	gag Glu	864
tgt Cys	tac Tyr 290	tac Tyr	aaa Lys	tct Ser	acc Thr	aat Asn 295	gga Gly	act Thr	ctc Leu	ttt Phe	cca Pro 300	cta Leu	aaa Lys	gtt Val	act Thr	912
gtc Val 305	aca Thr	cta Leu	aac Asn	aga Arg	cgt Arg 310	atg Met	tta Leu	gct Ala	tct Ser	gga Gly 315	atg Met	gcc Ala	tat Tyr	gct Ala	atg Met 320	960
aat Asn	ttt Phe	tca Ser	tgg Trp	tct Ser 325	cta Leu	aat Asn	gca Ala	gag Glu	gaa Glu 330	gcc Ala	ccg Pro	gaa Glu	act Thr	acc Thr 335	gaa Glu	1008
gtc Val	act Thr	ctc Leu	att Ile 340	acc Thr	tcc Ser	ccc Pro	ttc Phe	ttt Phe 345	ttt Phe	tct Ser	tat Tyr	atc Ile	aga Arg 350	gaa Glu	gat Asp	1056
gac Asp	tga															1062
<210 <211 <212 <213)> SH L> LH 2> TY 3> OH	EQ II ENGTH (PE : RGAN]) NO 1: 35 PRT SM:	6 53 Ader	novii	rus										
<400)> SH	EQUEI	ICE :	6												
Met 1	Ala	Lys	Arg	Ala 5	Arg	Leu	Ser	Ser	Ser 10	Phe	Asn	Pro	Val	Tyr 15	Pro	
Tyr	Glu	Aab	Glu 20	Ser	Ser	Ser	Gln	His 25	Pro	Phe	Ile	Asn	Pro 30	Gly	Phe	
Ile	Ser	Ser 35	Asn	Gly	Phe	Ala	Gln 40	Ser	Pro	Asp	Gly	Val 45	Leu	Thr	Leu	
Lys	Cys 50	Val	Asn	Pro	Leu	Thr 55	Thr	Ala	Ser	Gly	Pro 60	Leu	Gln	Leu	Lys	

Val 65	Gly	Ser	Ser	Leu	Thr 70	Val	Asp	Thr	Ile	Asp 75	Gly	Ser	Leu	Glu	Glu 80					
Asn	Ile	Thr	Ala	Ala 85	Ala	Pro	Leu	Thr	Lys 90	Thr	Asn	His	Ser	Ile 95	Gly					
Leu	Leu	Ile	Gly 100	Ser	Gly	Leu	Gln	Thr 105	Lys	Asp	Asp	Lys	Leu 110	Суз	Leu					
Ser	Leu	Gly	Asp	Gly	Leu	Val	Thr	Lys	Asp	Asp	Lys	Leu 125	Сув	Leu	Ser					
Leu	Gly	Asp	Gly	Leu	Ile	Thr	Lys	Asn	Asp	Val	Leu	Cys	Ala	Гла	Leu					
Gly	His	Gly	Leu	Val	Phe	Asp	Ser	Ser	Asn	Ala	I40 Ile	Thr	Ile	Glu	Asn					
145 Asn	Thr	Leu	Trp	Thr	150 Gly	Ala	Lys	Pro	Ser	155 Ala	Asn	Cys	Val	Ile	160 Lys					
Glu	Glv	Glu	Asp	165 Ser	Pro	Asp	Cvs	Lvs	170 Leu	Thr	Leu	Val	Leu	175 Val	Lvs					
Acn	Glv	Gly	180	TIA	Acn	Gly	Tur	185	Thr	Leu	Mat	Gly	190 Ala	Cor	Glu					
-	GLY	195	neu.	-	ADII	Giy	200	-		Leu	met	205	-	Jer 1	-					
Tyr	Thr 210	Asn	Thr	Leu	Phe	Lys 215	Asn	Asn	GIn	Val	Thr 220	Ile	Asp	Val	Asn					
Leu 225	Ala	Phe	Asp	Asn	Thr 230	Gly	Gln	Ile	Ile	Thr 235	Tyr	Leu	Ser	Ser	Leu 240					
Lys	Ser	Asn	Leu	Asn 245	Phe	Lys	Asp	Asn	Gln 250	Asn	Met	Ala	Thr	Gly 255	Thr					
Ile	Thr	Ser	Ala 260	Lys	Gly	Phe	Met	Pro 265	Ser	Thr	Thr	Ala	Tyr 270	Pro	Phe					
Ile	Thr	Tyr 275	Ala	Thr	Glu	Thr	Leu 280	Asn	Glu	Asp	Tyr	Ile 285	Tyr	Gly	Glu					
Суз	Tyr 290	Tyr	Lys	Ser	Thr	Asn 295	Gly	Thr	Leu	Phe	Pro 300	Leu	ГЛЗ	Val	Thr					
Val 305	Thr	Leu	Asn	Arg	Arg 310	Met	Leu	Ala	Ser	Gly 315	Met	Ala	Tyr	Ala	Met 320					
Asn	Phe	Ser	Trp	Ser 325	Leu	Asn	Ala	Glu	Glu 330	Ala	Pro	Glu	Thr	Thr 335	Glu					
Val	Thr	Leu	Ile 340	Thr	Ser	Pro	Phe	Phe	Phe	Ser	Tyr	Ile	Arg 350	Glu	Asp					
Asp			010					515					550							
<210 <211 <212 <213 <220 <221 <221)> SI .> LI :> T :> T :> OF :> FI .> NZ :> LC	EQ II ENGTI (PE : RGAN) EATUI AME / I DCAT	D NO H: 9' DNA ISM: RE: KEY: ION:	7 72 Ade: CDS (1)	novi: (9	rus 72)														
<400)> SH	EQUEI	NCE:	7																
atg Met 1	acc Thr	aag Lys	aga Arg	gtc Val 5	cgg Arg	ctc Leu	agt Ser	gac Asp	tcc Ser 10	ttc Phe	aac Asn	cct Pro	gtc Val	tac Tyr 15	ccc Pro	48				
tat Tyr	gaa Glu	gat Asp	gaa Glu 20	agc Ser	acc Thr	tcc Ser	caa Gln	cac His 25	ccc Pro	ttt Phe	ata Ile	aac Asn	cca Pro 30	glà dàd	ttt Phe	96				
att Ile	tcc Ser	cca Pro 35	aat Asn	ggc Gly	ttc Phe	aca Thr	caa Gln 40	agc Ser	cca Pro	gac Asp	gga Gly	gtt Val 45	ctt Leu	act Thr	tta Leu	144				
aaa	tgt	tta	acc	сса	cta	aca	acc	aca	ggc	gga	tct	cta	cag	cta	aaa	192				

_

Lys Cys Leu Thr Pro Leu Thr Thr Thr Gly Gly Ser Leu Gln Leu Lys

-continued

gtg Val 65	gga Gly	д1А даа	gga Gly	ctt Leu	aca Thr 70	gtg Val	gat Asp	gac Asp	act Thr	gat Asp 75	ggt Gly	acc Thr	tta Leu	caa Gln	gaa Glu 80	240
aac Asn	ata Ile	cgt Arg	gct Ala	aca Thr 85	gca Ala	ccc Pro	att Ile	act Thr	aaa Lys 90	aat Asn	aat Asn	cac His	tct Ser	gta Val 95	gaa Glu	288
cta Leu	tcc Ser	att Ile	gga Gly 100	aat Asn	gga Gly	tta Leu	gaa Glu	act Thr 105	caa Gln	aac Asn	aat Asn	aaa Lys	cta Leu 110	tgt Cys	gcc Ala	336
aaa Lys	ttg Leu	gga Gly 115	aat Asn	glà aaa	tta Leu	aaa Lys	ttt Phe 120	aac Asn	aac Asn	ggt Gly	gac Asp	att Ile 125	tgt Cys	ata Ile	aag Lys	384
gat Asp	agt Ser 130	att Ile	aac Asn	acc Thr	tta Leu	tgg Trp 135	act Thr	gga Gly	ata Ile	aac Asn	cct Pro 140	cca Pro	cct Pro	aac Asn	tgt Cys	432
caa Gln 145	att Ile	gtg Val	gaa Glu	aac Asn	act Thr 150	aat Asn	aca Thr	aat Asn	gat Asp	ggc Gly 155	aaa Lys	ctt Leu	act Thr	tta Leu	gta Val 160	480
tta Leu	gta Val	aaa Lys	aat Asn	gga Gly 165	glà aaa	ctt Leu	gtt Val	aat Asn	ggc Gly 170	tac Tyr	gtg Val	tct Ser	cta Leu	gtt Val 175	ggt Gly	528
gta Val	tca Ser	gac Asp	act Thr 180	gtg Val	aac Asn	caa Gln	atg Met	ttc Phe 185	aca Thr	caa Gln	aag Lys	aca Thr	gca Ala 190	aac Asn	atc Ile	576
caa Gln	tta Leu	aga Arg 195	tta Leu	tat Tyr	ttt Phe	gac Asp	tct Ser 200	tct Ser	gga Gly	aat Asn	cta Leu	tta Leu 205	act Thr	gag Glu	gaa Glu	624
tca Ser	gac Asp 210	tta Leu	aaa Lys	att Ile	cca Pro	ctt Leu 215	aaa Lys	aat Asn	aaa Lys	tct Ser	tct Ser 220	aca Thr	gcg Ala	acc Thr	agt Ser	672
gaa Glu 225	act Thr	gta Val	gcc Ala	agc Ser	agc Ser 230	aaa Lys	gcc Ala	ttt Phe	atg Met	cca Pro 235	agt Ser	act Thr	aca Thr	gct Ala	tat Tyr 240	720
ccc Pro	ttc Phe	aac Asn	acc Thr	act Thr 245	act Thr	agg Arg	gat Asp	agt Ser	gaa Glu 250	aac Asn	tac Tyr	att Ile	cat His	gga Gly 255	ata Ile	768

tgt tac tac atg act agt tat gat aga agt cta ttt ccc ttg aac att Cys Tyr Tyr Met Thr Ser Tyr Asp Arg Ser Leu Phe Pro Leu Asn Ile 260 265 270 tot ata atg ota aac ago ogt atg att tot too aat gtt goo tat goo Ser Ile Met Leu Asn Ser Arg Met Ile Ser Ser Asn Val Ala Tyr Ala

ata caa ttt gaa tgg aat cta aat gca agt gaa tct cca gaa agc aac Ile Gln Phe Glu Trp Asn Leu Asn Ala Ser Glu Ser Pro Glu Ser Asn ata gct acg ctg acc aca tcc ccc ttt ttc ttt tct tac att aca gaa Ile Ala Thr Leu Thr Thr Ser Pro Phe Phe Phe Ser Tyr Ile Thr Glu

gac gac aac taa Asp Asp Asn

<210> SEQ ID NO 8 <211> LENGTH: 323 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Adenovirus <400> SEQUENCE: 8

Met Thr Lys Arg Val Arg Leu Ser Asp Ser Phe Asn Pro Val Tyr Pro

Tyr	Glu	Asp	Glu 20	Ser	Thr	Ser	Gln	His 25	Pro	Phe	Ile	Asn	Pro 30	Gly	Phe	
Ile	Ser	Pro 35	Asn	Gly	Phe	Thr	Gln 40	Ser	Pro	Asp	Gly	Val 45	Leu	Thr	Leu	
Lys	Cys 50	Leu	Thr	Pro	Leu	Thr 55	Thr	Thr	Gly	Gly	Ser 60	Leu	Gln	Leu	Гла	
Val 65	Gly	Gly	Gly	Leu	Thr 70	Val	Asp	Asp	Thr	Asp 75	Gly	Thr	Leu	Gln	Glu 80	
Asn	Ile	Arg	Ala	Thr 85	Ala	Pro	Ile	Thr	Lys 90	Asn	Asn	His	Ser	Val 95	Glu	
Leu	Ser	Ile	Gly 100	Asn	Gly	Leu	Glu	Thr 105	Gln	Asn	Asn	Lys	Leu 110	Суз	Ala	
Lys	Leu	Gly 115	Asn	Gly	Leu	Lys	Phe 120	Asn	Asn	Gly	Asp	Ile 125	Суз	Ile	Гла	
Asp	Ser 130	Ile	Asn	Thr	Leu	Trp 135	Thr	Gly	Ile	Asn	Pro 140	Pro	Pro	Asn	Суз	
Gln 145	Ile	Val	Glu	Asn	Thr 150	Asn	Thr	Asn	Aab	Gly 155	LYa	Leu	Thr	Leu	Val 160	
Leu	Val	Lys	Asn	Gly 165	Gly	Leu	Val	Asn	Gly 170	Tyr	Val	Ser	Leu	Val 175	Gly	
Val	Ser	Asp	Thr 180	Val	Asn	Gln	Met	Phe 185	Thr	Gln	LYa	Thr	Ala 190	Asn	Ile	
Gln	Leu	Arg 195	Leu	Tyr	Phe	Asp	Ser 200	Ser	Gly	Asn	Leu	Leu 205	Thr	Glu	Glu	
Ser	Asp 210	Leu	Lys	Ile	Pro	Leu 215	Lys	Asn	Lys	Ser	Ser 220	Thr	Ala	Thr	Ser	
Glu 225	Thr	Val	Ala	Ser	Ser 230	Lys	Ala	Phe	Met	Pro 235	Ser	Thr	Thr	Ala	Tyr 240	
Pro	Phe	Asn	Thr	Thr 245	Thr	Arg	Asp	Ser	Glu 250	Asn	Tyr	Ile	His	Gly 255	Ile	
Суз	Tyr	Tyr	Met 260	Thr	Ser	Tyr	Asp	Arg 265	Ser	Leu	Phe	Pro	Leu 270	Asn	Ile	
Ser	Ile	Met 275	Leu	Asn	Ser	Arg	Met 280	Ile	Ser	Ser	Asn	Val 285	Ala	Tyr	Ala	
Ile	Gln 290	Phe	Glu	Trp	Asn	Leu 295	Asn	Ala	Ser	Glu	Ser 300	Pro	Glu	Ser	Asn	
Ile 305	Ala	Thr	Leu	Thr	Thr 310	Ser	Pro	Phe	Phe	Phe 315	Ser	Tyr	Ile	Thr	Glu 320	
Asp	Asp	Asn														
<210)> SE	EQ II	оио	9												
<211	> LE 2> TY	ENGTH	1: 10 DNA	98												
<213	8> OF	RGANI	ISM:	Ader	novii	rus										
<220 <221 <222)> FH L> NA L> LO	ATUR AME/H DCATI	ke: KEY: ION:	CDS (1)	(10	98)										
<400)> SB	EQUEI	ICE :	9												
atg Met 1	tca Ser	aag Lys	agg Arg	ctc Leu 5	cgg Arg	gtg Val	gaa Glu	gat Asp	gac Asp 10	ttc Phe	aac Asn	ccc Pro	gtc Val	tac Tyr 15	ccc Pro	48
tat Tyr	ggc Gly	tac Tyr	gcg Ala 20	cgg Arg	aat Asn	cag Gln	aat Asn	atc Ile 25	ccc Pro	ttc Phe	ctc Leu	act Thr	ccc Pro 30	ccc Pro	ttt Phe	96
gtc	tcc	tcc	gat	gga	ttc	aaa	aac	ttc	ccc	cct	aaa	gta	ctg	tca	ctc	144

US 7,910,113 B2

-continued

Val	Ser	Ser 35	Asp	Gly	Phe	Гла	Asn 40	Phe	Pro	Pro	Gly	Val 45	Leu	Ser	Leu		
aaa Lys	ctg Leu 50	gct Ala	gat Asp	cca Pro	atc Ile	acc Thr 55	att Ile	acc Thr	aat Asn	ggg Gly	gat Asp 60	gta Val	tcc Ser	ctc Leu	aag Lys	192	
gtg Val 65	gga Gly	ggt Gly	ggt Gly	ctc Leu	act Thr 70	ttg Leu	caa Gln	gat Asp	gga Gly	agc Ser 75	cta Leu	act Thr	gta Val	aac Asn	cct Pro 80	240	
aag Lys	gct Ala	cca Pro	ctg Leu	caa Gln 85	gtt Val	aat Asn	act Thr	gat Asp	aaa Lys 90	aaa Lys	ctt Leu	gag Glu	ctt Leu	gca Ala 95	tat Tyr	288	
gat Asp	aat Asn	cca Pro	ttt Phe 100	gaa Glu	agt Ser	agt Ser	gct Ala	aat Asn 105	aaa Lys	ctt Leu	agt Ser	tta Leu	aaa Lys 110	gta Val	gga Gly	336	
cat His	gga Gly	tta Leu 115	aaa Lys	gta Val	tta Leu	gat Asp	gaa Glu 120	aaa Lys	agt Ser	gct Ala	gcg Ala	999 Gly 125	tta Leu	aaa Lys	gat Asp	384	
tta Leu	att Ile 130	ggc Gly	aaa Lys	ctt Leu	gtg Val	gtt Val 135	tta Leu	aca Thr	gga Gly	aaa Lys	gga Gly 140	ata Ile	ggc Gly	act Thr	gaa Glu	432	
aat Asn 145	tta Leu	gaa Glu	aat Asn	aca Thr	gat Asp 150	ggt Gly	agc Ser	agc Ser	aga Arg	gga Gly 155	att Ile	ggt Gly	ata Ile	aat Asn	gta Val 160	480	
aga Arg	gca Ala	aga Arg	gaa Glu	999 Gly 165	ttg Leu	aca Thr	ttt Phe	gac Asp	aat Asn 170	gat Asp	gga Gly	tac Tyr	ttg Leu	gta Val 175	gca Ala	528	
tgg Trp	aac Asn	cca Pro	aag Lys 180	tat Tyr	gac Asp	acg Thr	cgc Arg	aca Thr 185	ctt Leu	tgg Trp	aca Thr	aca Thr	cca Pro 190	gac Asp	aca Thr	576	
tct Ser	cca Pro	aac Asn 195	tgc Cys	aca Thr	att Ile	gct Ala	caa Gln 200	gat Asp	aag Lys	gac Asp	tct Ser	aaa Lys 205	ctc Leu	act Thr	ttg Leu	624	
gta Val	ctt Leu 210	aca Thr	aag Lys	tgt Cys	gga Gly	agt Ser 215	caa Gln	ata Ile	tta Leu	gct Ala	aat Asn 220	gtg Val	tct Ser	ttg Leu	att Ile	672	
gtg Val 225	gtc Val	gca Ala	gga Gly	aag Lys	tac Tyr 230	cac His	atc Ile	ata Ile	aat Asn	aat Asn 235	aag Lys	aca Thr	aat Asn	cca Pro	aaa Lys 240	720	
ata Ile	aaa Lys	agt Ser	ttt Phe	act Thr 245	att Ile	aaa Lys	ctg Leu	cta Leu	ttt Phe 250	aat Asn	aag Lys	aac Asn	gga Gly	gtg Val 255	ctt Leu	768	
tta Leu	gac Asp	aac Asn	tca Ser 260	aat Asn	ctt Leu	gga Gly	aaa Lys	gct Ala 265	tat Tyr	tgg Trp	aac Asn	ttt Phe	aga Arg 270	agt Ser	gga Gly	816	
aat Asn	tcc Ser	aat Asn 275	gtt Val	tcg Ser	aca Thr	gct Ala	tat Tyr 280	gaa Glu	aaa Lys	gca Ala	att Ile	ggt Gly 285	ttt Phe	atg Met	cct Pro	864	
aat Asn	ttg Leu 290	gta Val	gcg Ala	tat Tyr	cca Pro	aaa Lys 295	ccc Pro	agt Ser	aat Asn	tct Ser	aaa Lys 300	aaa Lys	tat Tyr	gca Ala	aga Arg	912	
gac Asp 305	ata Ile	gtt Val	tat Tyr	gga Gly	act Thr 310	ata Ile	tat Tyr	ctt Leu	ggt Gly	gga Gly 315	aaa Lys	cct Pro	gat Asp	cag Gln	cca Pro 320	960	
gca Ala	gtc Val	att Ile	aaa Lys	act Thr 325	acc Thr	ttt Phe	aac Asn	caa Gln	gaa Glu 330	act Thr	gga Gly	tgt Cys	gaa Glu	tac Tyr 335	tct Ser	1008	
atc Ile	aca Thr	ttt Phe	aac Asn 340	ttt Phe	agt Ser	tgg Trp	tcc Ser	aaa Lys 345	acc Thr	tat Tyr	gaa Glu	aat Asn	gtt Val 350	gaa Glu	ttt Phe	1056	

gaa acc acc tct ttt acc ttc tcc tat att gcc caa gaa tga 1098

Glu	Thr	Thr 355	Ser	Phe	Thr	Phe	Ser 360	Tyr	Ile	Ala	Gln	Glu 365			
<210 <211 <212 <213	0> SI L> LI 2> TY 3> OH	EQ II ENGTH YPE : RGANI) NO 1: 30 PRT [SM:	10 55 Adei	novi	rus									
<400)> SI	EQUEI	ICE :	10											
Met 1	Ser	Lys	Arg	Leu 5	Arg	Val	Glu	Asp	Asp 10	Phe	Asn	Pro	Val	Tyr 15	Pro
Tyr	Gly	Tyr	Ala 20	Arg	Asn	Gln	Asn	Ile 25	Pro	Phe	Leu	Thr	Pro 30	Pro	Phe
Val	Ser	Ser 35	Asp	Gly	Phe	Lys	Asn 40	Phe	Pro	Pro	Gly	Val 45	Leu	Ser	Leu
Lys	Leu 50	Ala	Asp	Pro	Ile	Thr 55	Ile	Thr	Asn	Gly	Asp 60	Val	Ser	Leu	ГЛа
Val 65	Gly	Gly	Gly	Leu	Thr 70	Leu	Gln	Aab	Gly	Ser 75	Leu	Thr	Val	Asn	Pro 80
ГÀа	Ala	Pro	Leu	Gln 85	Val	Asn	Thr	Aab	Lys 90	ГÀа	Leu	Glu	Leu	Ala 95	Tyr
Aab	Asn	Pro	Phe 100	Glu	Ser	Ser	Ala	Asn 105	ràa	Leu	Ser	Leu	Lys 110	Val	Gly
His	Gly	Leu 115	ГÀа	Val	Leu	Asp	Glu 120	ГÀа	Ser	Ala	Ala	Gly 125	Leu	Lys	Asp
Leu	Ile 130	Gly	ГÀа	Leu	Val	Val 135	Leu	Thr	Gly	ГÀа	Gly 140	Ile	Gly	Thr	Glu
Asn 145	Leu	Glu	Asn	Thr	Asp 150	Gly	Ser	Ser	Arg	Gly 155	Ile	Gly	Ile	Asn	Val 160
Arg	Ala	Arg	Glu	Gly 165	Leu	Thr	Phe	Asp	Asn 170	Asp	Gly	Tyr	Leu	Val 175	Ala
Trp	Asn	Pro	Lys 180	Tyr	Asp	Thr	Arg	Thr 185	Leu	Trp	Thr	Thr	Pro 190	Asp	Thr
Ser	Pro	Asn 195	Сув	Thr	Ile	Ala	Gln 200	Asp	Lys	Asp	Ser	Lys 205	Leu	Thr	Leu
Val	Leu 210	Thr	Гла	Суз	Gly	Ser 215	Gln	Ile	Leu	Ala	Asn 220	Val	Ser	Leu	Ile
Val 225	Val	Ala	Gly	Гла	Tyr 230	His	Ile	Ile	Asn	Asn 235	ГЛЗ	Thr	Asn	Pro	Lys 240
Ile	Lys	Ser	Phe	Thr 245	Ile	ГЛЗ	Leu	Leu	Phe 250	Asn	ГЛЗ	Asn	Gly	Val 255	Leu
Leu	Asp	Asn	Ser 260	Asn	Leu	Gly	Lys	Ala 265	Tyr	Trp	Asn	Phe	Arg 270	Ser	Gly
Asn	Ser	Asn 275	Val	Ser	Thr	Ala	Tyr 280	Glu	Lys	Ala	Ile	Gly 285	Phe	Met	Pro
Asn	Leu 290	Val	Ala	Tyr	Pro	Lys 295	Pro	Ser	Asn	Ser	Lуз 300	Lys	Tyr	Ala	Arg
Asp 305	Ile	Val	Tyr	Gly	Thr 310	Ile	Tyr	Leu	Gly	Gly 315	Lys	Pro	Asp	Gln	Pro 320
Ala	Val	Ile	Lys	Thr 325	Thr	Phe	Asn	Gln	Glu 330	Thr	Gly	Сүз	Glu	Tyr 335	Ser
Ile	Thr	Phe	Asn 340	Phe	Ser	Trp	Ser	Lys 345	Thr	Tyr	Glu	Asn	Val 350	Glu	Phe
Glu	Thr	Thr 355	Ser	Phe	Thr	Phe	Ser 360	Tyr	Ile	Ala	Gln	Glu 365			

48

<210> SEQ ID NO 11 <211> LENGTH: 1873 <212> TYPE: DNA <213> ORGANISM: Gallus gallus <220> FEATURE: <221> NAME/KEY: CDS <222> LOCATION: (66)..(1226) <400> SEQUENCE: 11 gacatacage tagaaagetg tattgeettt ageaeteaag eteaaaagae aaeteagagt tcacc atg ggc tcc atc ggc gca gca agc atg gaa ttt tgt ttt gat gta Met Gly Ser Ile Gly Ala Ala Ser Met Glu Phe Cys Phe Asp Val ttc aag gag ctc aaa gtc cac cat gcc aat gag aac atc ttc tac tgc Phe Lys Glu Leu Lys Val His His Ala Asn Glu Asn Ile Phe Tyr Cys ccc att gcc atc atg tca gct cta gcc atg gta tac ctg ggt gca aaa Pro Ile Ala Ile Met Ser Ala Leu Ala Met Val Tyr Leu Gly Ala Lys gac agc acc agg aca cag ata aat aag gtt gtt cgc ttt gat aaa ctt Asp Ser Thr Arg Thr Gln Ile Asn Lys Val Val Arg Phe Asp Lys Leu cca gga ttc gga gac agt att gaa gct cag tgt ggc aca tct gta aac Pro Gly Phe Gly Asp Ser Ile Glu Ala Gl
n Cys Gly Thr Ser Val As
n $% \left({{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{}}} \right)}} \right.} \right.} \right.} \right.} \right)}_{{\left({{{\left({{{\left({{{}} \right)} \right.} \right.} \right)}_{{\left({{} \right)}}}} \right)}_{{\left({{{} \right)} \right.} }}}} \right)$ gtt cac tct tca ctt aga gac atc ctc aac caa atc acc aaa cca aat Val His Ser Ser Leu Arg Asp Ile Leu Asn Gln Ile Thr Lys Pro Asn gat gtt tat tcg ttc agc ctt gcc agt aga ctt tat gct gaa gag aga Asp Val Tyr Ser Phe Ser Leu Ala Ser Arg Leu Tyr Ala Glu Glu Arg tac cca atc ctg cca gaa tac ttg cag tgt gtg aag gaa ctg tat aga Tyr Pro Ile Leu Pro Glu Tyr Leu Gln Cys Val Lys Glu Leu Tyr Arg gga ggc ttg gaa cct atc aac ttt caa aca gct gca gat caa gcc aga Gly Gly Leu Glu Pro Ile Asn Phe Gln Thr Ala Ala Asp Gln Ala Arg gag ctc atc aat tcc tgg gta gaa agt cag aca aat gga att atc aga Glu Leu Ile Asn Ser Trp Val Glu Ser Gln Thr Asn Gly Ile Ile Arg aat gtc ctt cag cca agc tcc gtg gat tct caa act gca atg gtt ctg Asn Val Leu Gln Pro Ser Ser Val Asp Ser Gln Thr Ala Met Val Leu gtt aat gcc att gtc ttc aaa gga ctg tgg gag aaa aca ttt aag gat Val Asn Ala Ile Val Phe Lys Gly Leu Trp Glu Lys Thr Phe Lys Asp gaa gac aca caa gca atg cct ttc aga gtg act gag caa gaa agc aaa Glu Asp Thr Gln Ala Met Pro Phe Arg Val Thr Glu Gln Glu Ser Lys cct gtg cag atg atg tac cag att ggt tta tt
t aga gtg gca tca atg Pro Val Gln Met Met Tyr Gln Ile Gly Leu Phe Arg Val Al
a Ser Met gct tct gag aaa atg aag atc ctg gag ctt cca ttt gcc agt ggg aca Ala Ser Glu Lys Met Lys Ile Leu Glu Leu Pro Phe Ala Ser Gly Thr atg agc atg ttg gtg ctg ttg cct gat gaa gtc tca ggc ctt gag cag Met Ser Met Leu Val Leu Leu Pro Asp Glu Val Ser Gly Leu Glu Gln ctt gag agt ata atc aac ttt gaa aaa ctg act gaa tgg acc agt tct Leu Glu Ser Ile Ile Asn Phe Glu Lys Leu Thr Glu Trp Thr Ser Ser

aat gtt atg gaa gag agg aag atc aaa gtg tac tta cct cgc atg aag Asn Val Met Glu Glu Arg Lys Ile Lys Val Tyr Leu Pro Arg Met Lys 275 280 285	926												
atg gag gaa aaa tac aac ctc aca tct gtc tta atg gct atg ggc att Met Glu Glu Lys Tyr Asn Leu Thr Ser Val Leu Met Ala Met Gly Ile 290 295 300	974												
act gac gtg ttt agc tct tca gcc aat ctg tct ggc atc tcc tca gca Thr Asp Val Phe Ser Ser Ser Ala Asn Leu Ser Gly Ile Ser Ser Ala 305 310 315	1022												
gag agc ctg aag ata tct caa gct gtc cat gca gca cat gca gaa atcGlu Ser Leu Lys Ile Ser Gln Ala Val His Ala Ala His Ala Glu Ile320325330335	1070												
aat gaa gca ggc aga gag gtg gta ggg tca gca gag gct gga gtg gat Asn Glu Ala Gly Arg Glu Val Val Gly Ser Ala Glu Ala Gly Val Asp 340 345 350	1118												
gct gca agc gtc tct gaa gaa ttt agg gct gac cat cca ttc ctc ttc Ala Ala Ser Val Ser Glu Glu Phe Arg Ala Asp His Pro Phe Leu Phe 355 360 365	1166												
tgt atc aag cac atc gca acc aac gcc gtt ctc ttc ttt ggc aga tgt Cys Ile Lys His Ile Ala Thr Asn Ala Val Leu Phe Phe Gly Arg Cys 370 375 380	1214												
gtt tcc cct taa aaagaagaaa gctgaaaaac tctgtccctt ccaacaagac Val Ser Pro 385	1266												
ccagagcact gtagtatcag gggtaaaatg aaaagtatgt tctctgctgc atccagactt	1326												
cataaaagct ggagcttaat ctagaaaaaa aatcagaaag aaattacact gtgagaacag	1386												
gtgcaattca cttttccttt acacagagta atactggtaa ctcatggatg aaggcttaag	1446												
ggaatgaaat tggactcaca gtactgagtc atcacactga aaaatgcaac ctgatacatc	1506												
agcagaaggt ttatgggggga aaaatgcagc cttccaatta agccagatat ctgtatgacc	1566												
aagctgctcc agaattagtc actcaaaatc tctcagatta aattatcaac tgtcaccaac	1626												
catteetatg etgacaagge aattgettgt tetetgtgtt eetgataeta caaggetett	1686												
cctgacttcc taaagatgca ttataaaaat cttataattc acatttctcc ctaaactttg	1746												
actcaatcat ggtatgttgg caaatatggt atattactat tcaaattgtt ttccttgtac	1806												
ccatatgtaa tgggtcttgt gaatgtgctc ttttgttcct ttaatcataa taaaaacatg	1866												
tttaagc	1873												
<210> SEQ ID NO 12 <211> LENGTH: 386 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Gallus gallus													
<400> SEQUENCE: 12													
1 5 10 15													
Lys Glu Leu Lys Val His His Ala Asn Glu Asn Ile Phe Tyr Cys Pro 20 25 30													
Ile Ala Ile Met Ser Ala Leu Ala Met Val Tyr Leu Gly Ala Lys Asp 35 40 45													
Ser Thr Arg Thr Gln Ile Asn Lys Val Val Arg Phe Asp Lys Leu Pro 50 55 60													
Gly Phe Gly Asp Ser Ile Glu Ala Gln Cys Gly Thr Ser Val Asn Val 65 70 75 80													
His Ser Ser Leu Arg Asp Ile Leu Asn Gln Ile Thr Lys Pro Asn Asp 85 90 95													

Val	Tyr	Ser	Phe 100	Ser	Leu	Ala	Ser	Arg 105	Leu	Tyr	Ala	Glu	Glu 110	Arg	Tyr
Pro	Ile	Leu 115	Pro	Glu	Tyr	Leu	Gln 120	Суз	Val	Гла	Glu	Leu 125	Tyr	Arg	Gly
Gly	Leu 130	Glu	Pro	Ile	Asn	Phe 135	Gln	Thr	Ala	Ala	Asp 140	Gln	Ala	Arg	Glu
Leu 145	Ile	Asn	Ser	Trp	Val 150	Glu	Ser	Gln	Thr	Asn 155	Gly	Ile	Ile	Arg	Asn 160
Val	Leu	Gln	Pro	Ser 165	Ser	Val	Asp	Ser	Gln 170	Thr	Ala	Met	Val	Leu 175	Val
Asn	Ala	Ile	Val 180	Phe	Lys	Gly	Leu	Trp 185	Glu	Lys	Thr	Phe	Lys 190	Asp	Glu
Asp	Thr	Gln 195	Ala	Met	Pro	Phe	Arg 200	Val	Thr	Glu	Gln	Glu 205	Ser	Lys	Pro
Val	Gln 210	Met	Met	Tyr	Gln	Ile 215	Gly	Leu	Phe	Arg	Val 220	Ala	Ser	Met	Ala
Ser 225	Glu	Lys	Met	LÀa	Ile 230	Leu	Glu	Leu	Pro	Phe 235	Ala	Ser	Gly	Thr	Met 240
Ser	Met	Leu	Val	Leu 245	Leu	Pro	Asp	Glu	Val 250	Ser	Gly	Leu	Glu	Gln 255	Leu
Glu	Ser	Ile	Ile 260	Asn	Phe	Glu	Lys	Leu 265	Thr	Glu	Trp	Thr	Ser 270	Ser	Asn
Val	Met	Glu 275	Glu	Arg	Lys	Ile	Lys 280	Val	Tyr	Leu	Pro	Arg 285	Met	Lys	Met
Glu	Glu 290	Lys	Tyr	Asn	Leu	Thr 295	Ser	Val	Leu	Met	Ala 300	Met	Gly	Ile	Thr
Asp 305	Val	Phe	Ser	Ser	Ser 310	Ala	Asn	Leu	Ser	Gly 315	Ile	Ser	Ser	Ala	Glu 320
Ser	Leu	Lys	Ile	Ser 325	Gln	Ala	Val	His	Ala 330	Ala	His	Ala	Glu	Ile 335	Asn
Glu	Ala	Gly	Arg 340	Glu	Val	Val	Gly	Ser 345	Ala	Glu	Ala	Gly	Val 350	Asp	Ala
Ala	Ser	Val 355	Ser	Glu	Glu	Phe	Arg 360	Ala	Aab	His	Pro	Phe 365	Leu	Phe	Сүз
Ile	Lys 370	His	Ile	Ala	Thr	Asn 375	Ala	Val	Leu	Phe	Phe 380	Gly	Arg	Суз	Val
Ser 385	Pro														

We claim:

1. A tolerizing fusion protein comprising a targeting portion and an antigen or allergen to which tolerance is desired, wherein the targeting portion comprises a reovirus protein $\sigma 1$ ($p\sigma 1$), and wherein administration of the fusion protein to a 55 subject induces tolerance in the subject to the antigen or allergen.

2. The fusion protein of claim **1**, wherein the antigen is an autoantigen or therapeutically active biological.

3. A method to induce tolerance in a subject to an antigen or 60 allergen, comprising administering to the subject the fusion protein of claim **1**.

4. A method of inducing tolerance in a subject to an antigen, comprising:

administering to the subject a single dose of a tolerizing 65 fusion protein, wherein the tolerizing fusion protein comprises (1) a targeting portion comprising a reovirus

protein $\sigma 1$ (p $\sigma 1$), and (2) the antigen, thereby inducing tolerance in the subject to the antigen.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the single dose of the tolerizing fusion protein comprises 1 mg or less of the antigen.

6. The method of claim **5**, wherein the single dose of the tolerizing fusion protein comprises 500 μ g or less of the antigen.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the single dose of the tolerizing fusion protein comprises $250 \ \mu g$ or less of the antigen.

8. The method of claim **7**, wherein the single dose of the tolerizing fusion protein comprises $100 \ \mu g$ or less of the antigen.

9. A method of inducing antigen specific immune tolerance in a subject, comprising: administering an effective dose of a tolerizing fusion protein comprising a targeting portion and at least one epitope from the antigen to the subject, wherein the tolerizing fusion protein comprises a reovirus protein $\sigma 1$ (p $\sigma 1$), thereby inducing tolerance in the subject to the antigen.

10. The method of claim **4**, comprising administering more 5 than one tolerizing fusion protein to the subject.

11. The method of claim **4**, wherein the tolerizing fusion protein is administered orally or nasally.

12. The method of claim **9**, comprising administering more than one tolerizing fusion protein to the subject.

13. The method of claim 9, wherein the tolerizing fusion protein is administered orally or nasally.

14. The method of claim 3, comprising administering more than one fusion protein to the subject.

15. The method of claim **3**, wherein the tolerizing fusion protein is administered orally or nasally.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

 PATENT NO.
 : 7,910,113 B2

 APPLICATION NO.
 : 12/294380

 DATED
 : March 22, 2011

 INVENTOR(S)
 : Pascual et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Specification:

In Column 1, line 17, "DE013812" should be --DE138120--

Signed and Sealed this Fifteenth Day of November, 2011

and 1000

David J. Kappos Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office