# ANDREW M. MASON

**PARTNER** 

503.473.0804 | andrew.mason@klarquist.cor



### **OVERVIEW**

Andy's practice encompasses all aspects of patent law, with a focus on litigation and USPTO post-grant review proceedings. He has successfully argued before the Federal Circuit, the Patent Trials and Appeal Board (PTAB), and before federal district courts at Markman and summary judgment hearings.

A registered patent attorney and former circuit designer, Andy also has prosecuted patents on technologies including electronic design automation (EDA), telecommunications, network infrastructure, media CODECs, and control systems. He also has extensive experience working with foreign counsel on global patent litigation strategies, and participated in patent trials in both Germany and the U.K

Andy joined Klarquist in 2011 as a lateral associate and became partner in 2017.

### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

- ▶ Carlson Caspers Vandenburgh & Lindquist | Associate, 2006 2011 | Minneapolis, MN
- ▶ United States District Court, District of Minnesota | Extern to Judge James M. Rosenbaum, 2005 | Minneapolis, MN
- ▶ LSI Logic Corporation | ASIC Design Engineer, 2000 2004 | Bloomington, MN
- ▶ Micron Technologies | Process Engineer Co-op, 1997 | Boise, ID

### PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

- ▶ Federal Circuit Bar Association, Vice Chair of the PTO Committee, 2018
- ▶ Chair, Oregon Patent Law Association Salishan Patent Law Conference, 2016 and 2014
- ▶ Former President, Oregon Patent Law Association
- ▶ Officer, Oregon Patent Law Association
- ▶ Managing Editor, University of Minnesota Law School Law Review, 2007

#### **EDUCATION**

J.D., University of Minnesota Law School, 2007

B.S., Electrical Engineering & Computer Science, University of California, Berkeley, 1999

#### **ADMISSIONS**

Oregon, 2011

Minnesota, 2007 (inactive)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 2009 (Reg. No. 64,034)

U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon

U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit

U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota

#### **PRACTICE AREAS**

Litigation

Post-Grant USPTO Proceedings

Intellectual Property Counseling

Klarquist

# ANDREW M. MASON

**PARTNER** 

503.473.0804 | and rew.mason@klarquist.com

### PRESENTATIONS & PUBLICATIONS

- ▶ Increasing Diversity in Innovation, Lewis & Clark Law School, April 1, 2022
- ▶ Patent Law Year in Review, Oregon State Bar IP Section, February 18, 2022
- ▶ Patent Law Review, Intellectual Property Review Updates and Changes from 2018, Oregon State Bar CLE, February 2019
- ▶ "Using a *Phillips* Construction in all PTAB Trials: The Impact on District Court Patent Actions and PTAB Proceedings," 18 Chi. -Kent J. Intell. Prop. 1 (2019) (coauthor)
- ▶ "From Chevron to Cuozzo: Round II: A Closer Look at Administrative Law Issues in PTO Proceedings," Federal Circuit Bar Association panel discussion and Webinar, Washington, D.C., March 2, 2017
- ▶ "From *Chevron* to *Cuozzo*: Practical Impacts of Administrative Law on PTO Proceedings," Federal Circuit Bar Association panel discussion and Webinar, Washington, D.C., December 6, 2016
- ▶ Patent Defenses & Patent Law Forecast, Nike Campus, Beaverton, OR, April 1, 2016 (co-presented with Chris Carraway)
- ▶ Advanced Tips For U.S. Patent Prosecution and Litigation, Microsoft Campus, Redmond, WA, March 29, 2016 (co-presented with John Vandenberg)
- ▶ Creative and Strategic Claim Drafting, Oregon State Bar, Intellectual Property Section, January 2016
- ▶ Discovery in U.S. Patent Litigation, presented as part of Seminar on Patent Discovery Proceedings, Munich, Germany, May 19, 2015
- ▶ Case Law and Claim Drafting: Impact of Recent Court Decisions, Oregon State Bar, Intellectual Property Section, July 2012
- ▶ Throwing Out the (Electronic) Trash: True Deletion Would Soothe E-Discovery Woes, 7 MINN. J. L. SCI. & TECH. 777 (2006)

#### **AMICI BRIEFS**

- ▶ Brief of Amicus Curiae T-Mobile USA, Inc., In Support of Defendants Cross-Appellants Hewlett-Packard Company and Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company, On the Issue of Estoppel Under Section 315(E), June 2020
- ▶ Brief of *Amici Curiae*, T-Mobile USA, Inc., In Support of Defendants Cross-Appellants Hewlett-Packard Company and Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company, on the Issue of Estoppel Under Section 315(E), April 2019
- ▶ Brief of *Amici Curiae*, SAP America, Inc., Gilead Sciences, Inc., Nautilus, Inc., Electronic Transactions Association, Financial Services Roundtable, and Xilinx, Inc. in Support of Respondents, Greene's Energy Group, LLC, et al, October 25, 2017

#### **TECHNOLOGY AREAS**

Electrical &
Semiconductors
Medical Devices &
Diagnostics
Software & Internet
Technology

# LANGUAGES

Spanish

Klarquist

# **ANDREW M. MASON**

PARTNER

503.473.0804 | and rew.mason@klarquist.com

# **AMICI BRIEFS**

▶ Brief of *Amicus Curiae*, Microsoft Corporation on Rehearing En Banc Supporting Neither Party, in Lighting Ballast v. Philips Electronics, June 2013

# **HONORS & AWARDS**

▶ 2018 – 2022 IAM Patent 1000: The World's Leading Patent Professionals