

**PARTNER**

Portland Office
503.473.0849
jeffrey.love@klarquist.com

EDUCATION

J.D., University of California,
Berkeley School of Law (Boalt Hall),
1987

B.A. with Honors in Philosophy,
University of California, Santa Cruz,
1984

ADMISSIONS

Oregon, 1987

California, 1998

U.S. Supreme Court

U.S. Courts of Appeals for the
Federal, Third, and Ninth Circuits

All U.S. District Courts in California

U.S. District Court for the District
of Colorado

U.S. District Court for the District
of Oregon

U.S. District Court for the Eastern
District of Texas

PRACTICE AREAS

Litigation

TECHNOLOGY AREAS

Software & Internet Technology

Electrical & Semiconductors

Medical Devices & Diagnostics

Jeffrey S. Love

Jeff counsels companies in a variety of intellectual property matters, including patent litigation and pre-litigation counseling, trademarks, trade dress, intellectual property licensing and due diligence, and copyrights. Jeff was a commercial trial attorney before joining Klarquist in 2000 to focus on intellectual property law.

He has represented parties in patent cases in federal district courts across the country, including California, Oregon, Washington, Delaware, New York, Florida and Texas, in inter partes review proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, and in appeals from district court and Patent Office decisions before the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals in Washington D.C. Jeff has represented large, well-known companies such as Amazon.com Inc., General Electric Company, and NBC Universal, as well as many small and mid-size companies.

Jeff's experience in patent litigation and post-grant reviews has included a wide variety of technical fields, including software and internet technology, electrical engineering and semiconductors, and medical devices and diagnostics. Some of the wide-ranging technologies he has litigated have included online business methods and e-commerce, data and memory functions, ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging, gas turbines, gaming machines, and mobile phone features and accessories.

Jeff has been recognized as a leading patent practitioner by numerous organizations over the span of his career. He is also a proficient author and engaging speaker, and he uses these strengths to full effect when developing case strategies and explaining complicated technical and legal issues to judges and juries for the benefit of his clients.

Jeff joined Klarquist in 2000 as a lateral of counsel and became partner in 2005.

Professional Activities

- Board Member, U.S. District Court of Oregon Historical Society, 2008 – 2009
- Member, Oregon State Bar Federal Practice and Procedure Committee, 2001 – 2005 (Chair, 2005)
- Member, Executive Committee, Oregon State Bar Antitrust, Trade Regulation and Unfair Business Practices Section, 1998-2000

Honors & Awards

- AV® *Preeminent*™ Martindale-Hubbell, Intellectual Property, established in 2003
- 2007, 2008, 2010 – 2017 *Oregon Super Lawyers*®
- 2012 – 2017, *IAM Patent 1000*: The World's Leading Patent Professionals

Presentations & Publications

- “*Inter Partes* Review: A View From the Trenches” (CLE, Portland, Oregon, October 17, 2017)
- New Patent Challenge Options Under AIA, August 2012
- “Responding to the Patent Troll Lawsuit” (General Counsel Forum, Seattle, Washington, March 19, 2008)
- “Using PTO Re-examinations to Trump a Court Judgment,” presentation during a seminar on “Pre-Complaint & Post-Trial Patent Litigation Strategies” (Law Seminars International, Seattle, Washington, July 11, 2006)

Representative Cases

Jeff has represented parties in patent cases involving a wide variety of technologies, including the following cases (represented parties underlined):

- *Aristocrat v. International Game Technology*, 5:06-cv-3717 (N.D. Cal.). Slot Machine Game and System with Improved Jackpot Feature.
- *Translogic Technology Inc. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, 3:99-cv-0407 (D. Or.). Transmission Gate Series Multiplexer.
- *Synopsys v. Mentor Graphics Corp.*, 3:12-cv-06467 (N.D. Cal.). Method and Apparatus for Resettable Memory.
- *Enpat v. General Electric Company*, 6:08-cv-1895 (M.D. Fla.). AC Line Current Controller Utilizing Line Connected Inductance and DC Voltage Component.
- *Quito v. NBC Universal, News Corp., Hulu LLC, et al.*, 1:08-cv-23543 (S.D. Fla.). Personal Feedback Browser for Obtaining Media Files.
- *University of Washington v. General Electric Company, et al.*, 2:10-cv-01933 (W.D. Wash.). Apparatus and Method for Interactive 3D Registration of Ultrasound and Magnetic Resonance Images Based on a Magnetic Position Sensor.
- *TAS Energy Inc. v. San Diego Gas & Electric Co.*, 3:12-cv-2777, (S.D. Cal.). System for chilling inlet air for gas turbines.
- *Walker Digital LLC v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.*, 11-cv-00313, 11-cv-00315, 11-cv-00320, 11-cv-00362, 11-cv-00369, et al. (D. Del.). Online business methods, such as system and method for Establishing and Managing Subscription Purchase Agreements.
- *BTG v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.*, 04-cv-1264 (D. Del.). Method and Apparatus for Tracking the Navigation Path of a User on the World Wide Web.
- *E-Data v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.*, 05-cv-4616 (S.D.N.Y.). System for Reproducing Information in Material Objects at a Point of Sale Location.
- *GPNE Corp. v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.*, 5:12-cv-03055 (N.D. Cal.). Data Display Software with Actions and Links Integrated with Information.
- *Tompkins v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.*, 6:11-cv-00081 (E.D. Tex.).
- *Sharing Sound v. Amazon.com Inc., Netflix Inc., GameStop Corp., et al.*, 2:10-cv-0155 (E.D. Tex.). Distribution of Musical Products by a Web Site Vendor Over the Internet.

- *Texas OCR Technologies, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., Amazon Services, LLC, et al.*, 6:10-cv-00064 (E.D. Tex.). Methodology for Displaying Search Results Using Character Recognition.
- *DownUnder Wireless, LLC v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.*, 2:09-cv-00365 (E.D. Tex.). Inverted Safety Antenna for Personal Communication Devices.
- *Welcome Company, Ltd. v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.*, 10-cv-00203 (C.D. Cal.). Hand-Held Electric Sealer with Detachable Heat Resistant Cover Sheet.
- *The Tobin Family Education and Health Foundation, et al v. Amazon.com Inc.*, 2:09-cv-00160 (M.D. Fla.). Method and System for Customizing Marketing Services on Networks Communicating with Hypertext Tagging Conventions.
- *Performance Pricing v. A9.com et al.*, 2:07-cv-0432 (E.D. Tex.). Method and Apparatus for a Cryptographically Assisted Commercial Network System Designed to Facilitate Buyer-driven Conditional Purchase Offers.
- *Select Retrieval, LLC v. Costco, Nordstrom, Inc., Oakley, Inc., et al.*, 3:11-cv-01104 (D. Or.). Data Display Software with Actions and Links Integrated with Information.
- *Cellectricon AB v. Fluxion Biosciences, Inc.*, 5:09-cv-03150 (N.D. Cal.). Microfluidic Structure and Process for its Manufacture.
- *Optimize Technology Solutions, LLC v. Dillard's, Inc., Drugstore.com, Inc., Recreational Equipment, Inc., Staples, Inc. et al.*, 2:11-cv-00419 (E.D. Tex.). Method, Memory, Product, and Code for Displaying Pre-Customized Content Associated with Visitor Data.
- *O'Keeffe's, Inc. v. Technical Glass Products, et al.*, 07-cv-03535 (N.D. Cal.). Fire Resistant Safety Glass.
- *Schumer v. Wacom*, 99-cv-0474 (D. Wash.). Digitizer Interface.

Amici Briefs

- *Amici Brief Re 35 USC 285 Attorney Fees Awards, in Media Queu v. Netflix*, July 2010
- *Export Patent Infringement (35 USC Sec. 271(f)): Amici Brief Filed in U.S. Supreme Court in AT&T v. Microsoft*, January 2007