

KRISTIN L. CLEVELAND

SENIOR COUNSEL

503.473.0866 | kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com



OVERVIEW

Kristin's practice focuses exclusively on intellectual property litigation, including patent, trademark, and trade dress cases. She has extensive experience handling all phases of litigation, from obtaining favorable results in early motion practice, to efficient management of cases through discovery, claim construction, summary judgment and trial. She has a national trial practice, litigating in federal district courts around the country, and has argued at the Federal Circuit.

Kristin's knowledge of patent damages is well respected in the industry. In addition to being a regular presenter on the topic, she was a past chair of the Damages Subcommittee of the American Intellectual Property Law Association (AIPLA) Patent Litigation Committee.

Kristin is also committed to increasing diversity within the firm and the profession at large. In addition to mentoring and encouraging other female attorneys, she has helped coordinate the firm's partnership and support of AIPLA's Women in IP Law Committee.

Kristin joined Klarquist in 1999 as a lateral associate and became partner in 2004.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

- ▶ Arnold & Porter | Associate, 1996 – 1999 | Washington, D.C.
Worked on a variety of litigations including intellectual property, products liability, and civil and criminal antitrust.
- ▶ Office of the United States Trade Representative | Legal Intern, 1994 – 1996 | Washington, D.C.
- ▶ Office of the Legal Advisor, International Claims and Investment | Legal Extern, 1995 | Washington, D.C.

HONORS & AWARDS

- ▶ IAM Patent 1000: The World's Leading Patent Professionals | 2020 - 2025

EDUCATION

J.D., *with Honors*,
George Washington
University School of Law,
1996

B.A., Economics, Bard
College, 1991

ADMISSIONS

Oregon, 2000

Hawai'i, 2012

District of Columbia,
1997

California, 1996

PRACTICE AREAS

Litigation

E-Discovery

TECHNOLOGY AREAS

Software & Internet
Technology

Klarquist

KRISTIN L. CLEVELAND

SENIOR COUNSEL

503.473.0866 | kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

- ▶ Vice Chair, AIPLA Patent Litigation Committee
- ▶ Chair, ABA-IPL High Tech & Software Patent Committee
- ▶ Sedona Conference WG12 Drafting Team - Willfulness Damages and Attorney Fees
- ▶ Member, AIPLA Women in IP Committee
- ▶ Member and Past Chair, AIPLA Patent Litigation Committee's Damages Subcommittee
- ▶ Member, ChIPs
- ▶ Co-Founder, PDX Women in Tech and Law
- ▶ Publication Staff, AIPLA Quarterly Journal, 1995 – 1996

REPRESENTATIVE CASES

Cases on which Kristin has acted as counsel include the following (represented party underlined):

- ▶ SAP SE v. Celonis SE et al (D. Del.): SAP filed a patent infringement suit asserting four process-mining patents against Celonis; the case remains in early stages with responsive pleadings extended into January 2026.
- ▶ Caddo Systems, Inc. v. Siemens (Fed. Cir., N.D. Ill.): Lead counsel, Federal Circuit affirmed dismissal of Siemens AG for lack of personal jurisdiction and summary judgment of non-infringement for Siemens Industry based on a prior license.
- ▶ Synopsys v. Avatar/Siemens, Case No. 12-cv-06467 (N.D. Cal. & Arbitration): Lead counsel representing an EDA company in competitor, multi-patent litigation. Won motions to dismiss and partial summary judgment motions regarding four of six patents before trial, case resolved shortly before trial.
- ▶ Kewazinga v. Microsoft (S.D.N.Y.): Represented Microsoft in case relating to Streetside mapping technology. After Microsoft won partial summary judgment of no infringement and no damages and exclusion of Plaintiff's damages expert opinion, case resolved.
- ▶ EcoFactor v. Google (Fed. Cir.): Filed amici brief on behalf of SAS and others at both the petition and en banc stages of appeal.
- ▶ Universal Entertainment Corp. v. Aruze Gaming (D. Nev.): Represented Aruze in business and patent claims.
- ▶ Teradata v. SAP (N.D. Cal.): Represented SAP in competitor, multi-patent litigation.
- ▶ Waveform Technologies, Inc. v. Dexcom, Inc. (D. Or.): Invalidated patent claims on summary judgment in medical device competitor case.
- ▶ Operation Technology v. CYME (C.D. Cal.): Obtained an \$8.4 Million jury verdict in a false advertising case, one of the nation's largest false advertising verdicts in 2016. After the trial, the Judge complimented us and Jones Day (representing the defendant): "This case was tried in an exceptional professional and efficient manner. Everything was done the right way and in a timely fashion. I think it was a great benefit to the jury in appreciating the case and certainly a great benefit for me presiding over it. So I thank you for your hard work."
- ▶ Synopsys v. Mentor Graphics, Case No. 12-cv-06467 (N.D. Cal. & D. Or.): Represented an EDA company in competitor, multi-patent litigation. Won partial summary judgment that patents claimed ineligible subject matter, under § 101.

Klarquist

KRISTIN L. CLEVELAND

SENIOR COUNSEL

503.473.0866 | kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com

REPRESENTATIVE CASES

- ▶ *Metasearch Systems, LLC v. Priceline.com, Travelocity.com, Expedia, Orbitz, & American Express*, Case No. 1-12-cv-01191 (D. Del.): Defended group of online travel companies against seven patents related to metasearching. Case was stayed and then dismissed after defeating the asserted claims in patent office proceedings.
- ▶ *A Pty v. eBay*, Case No. 1-15-cv-00155 (W.D. Tex.): Represented eBay in case involving email communication systems. The complaint was dismissed on a Rule 12 motion, as the Court found the asserted patent invalid as claiming ineligible subject matter, under § 101.
- ▶ *Interval Licensing v. eBay, Netflix, Office Depot, Staples et al.*, Case No. 2-10-cv-01385 (W.D. Wash.): Represented multiple defendants in patent infringement litigation involving recommendation functionality on web commerce sites.
- ▶ *Research Corporation Technologies v. Microsoft*, Case No. 01-cv-0658 (D. Ariz.): Defended Microsoft against six asserted patents regarding halftoning technology.
- ▶ *University of Washington v. General Electric*, Case No. 10-cv-01933 (W.D. Wash.): Patent litigation involving ultrasound technology. Case stayed pending reexamination and then dismissed with prejudice.
- ▶ *Aristocrat v. IGT*, Case No. 06-cv-3717 (N.D. Cal.): Represented IGT in multi-patent case, asserting infringement by slot machines.