PARTNER

Todd M. Siegel

todd.siegel@klarquist.com



EDUCATION

- J.D., University of Missouri, Columbia, 1996
- B.S., Industrial Engineering, University of Missouri, Columbia, 1991

BAR ADMISSIONS

- Oregon, 2000
- Missouri, 1996 (inactive)
- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (Reg. No. 73,232)

COURT ADMISSIONS

- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
- U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri
- U.S. District Court for the District of Oregon

YEAR JOINED FIRM 2000

PRACTICE AREAS

Intellectual Property Counseling Licensing & Technology Transfer Litigation Trade Secrets Post-Grant USPTO Proceedings Startups & Emerging Businesses Outsourced "In-House" IP Counsel

TECHNOLOGIES

Mechanical
Mobile Devices & Applications
Software & Internet Technology

PRACTICE AREA OVERVIEW

Mr. Siegel focuses his practice on all aspects of intellectual property litigation, including patent, trade secret, trademark, and copyright disputes. Mr. Siegel served as Klarquist's managing partner in 2012 and 2013.

TECHNICAL EXPERTISE

Mr. Siegel has represented parties in patent and trademark cases involving a wide variety of technologies, including the following cases (represented party underlined):

- Nonend Inventions N.V. v. <u>T-Mobile USA Inc.</u>, Case No. 2:15-624 (E.D. Tex.):
 Patent infringement litigation concerning wireless technology.
- Grupo Bimbo S A B de CV Barcel USA LLC v. <u>Snak-King Corp.</u>, Represented Snak-King in multi-faceted case involving trademark, trade dress, and patent infringement allegations; case settled favorable.
- BioControl, LLC v. <u>Microsoft Corporation</u>, Case No. 2:14-640 (E.D. Tex.):
 Patent infringement litigation concerning biofeedback regulation.
- Walker Digital, LLC v. <u>Amazon.com</u>, Inc. et al., Case No. 11-313 (D. Del.):
 Patent infringement litigation concerning online promotions.
- World Class Technology Corporation v. <u>Ormco Corporation</u>, Case Nos. 3:13-401 and 3:13-441 (D. Or.): Patent infringement litigation and trade secret misappropriation concerning orthodontic brackets.
- I2Z Technology, LLC v. <u>Microsoft Corporation</u>, Case No. 3:11-cv-1103 (D. Or.): Patent infringement litigation concerning synchronizing portions of a computer display.
- Olympic Developments AG, LLC v. <u>Amazon.com</u>, Inc. et al., Case Nos. 3:11-cv-1655 (N.D. Cal.), 2:11-cv-0391 (W.D. Wash.) and 2:10-cv-7237 (C.D. Cal.): Patent infringement litigation concerning e-commerce and online payments.
- EMG Technology, LLC v. Dr. Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. et al., Case No. 6:10-cv-0536 (E.D. Tex.): Represented <u>Time Warner, Inc.</u> and <u>Time, Inc.</u> in a patent infringement litigation concerning mobile devices and mobile Internet technologies.
- DDB Technologies, L.L.C. v. <u>Time, Inc.</u>, Case No. 1:10-cv-0428 (W.D. Tex.):
 Patent infringement litigation concerning simulating sporting events over
 the Internet.

Todd M. Siegel

todd.siegel@klarquist.com

- EMG Technology, LLC v. <u>Microsoft Corporation</u>, Case No. 09-cv-0367 (E.D. Tex.): Patent infringement litigation concerning mobile devices and mobile Internet technologies.
- Sandvik AB v. <u>Rockmore International, Inc.</u>, Case No. 3:05-575 (D. Or.):
 Patent infringement litigation concerning drilling equipment technology.
- Research Corporation Technologies, Inc. v. <u>Microsoft Corporation</u>, Case No. 4:01-cv-0658 (D. Ariz.): Patent infringement litigation concerning halftoning technology.
- Veritas Operating Software (Symantec Corp.) v. <u>Microsoft Corporation</u>, Case No. 2:06-cv-0703 (W.D. Wash.): Patent infringement litigation concerning operating system deployment and recovery technology.
- Enpat, Inc. v. General Electric Co., Case No. 6:08-cv-1895 (M.D. Fla.):
 Patent infringement litigation involving wind turbine and microcontroller technology.
- Tobin Family Education & Health Foundation, et al. v. <u>Amazon.com, Inc.</u>,
 Case No. 2:09-cv-0160 (M.D. Fla.): Patent infringement litigation involving affiliate marketing.
- BTG Int'l v. <u>Amazon.com</u>, Inc., Case No. 04-cv-1264 (D. Del.): Patent infringement litigation involving affiliate marketing and online advertising.
- Reiffin v. <u>Microsoft Corporation</u>, Case No. 98-cv-0266 (N.D. Cal.): Patent infringement litigation concerning multithreading operating system technology.
- K2 Sports, Inc. v. Take-Two Interactive, Case No. 05-cv-6915 (S.D.N.Y.): Trademark infringement litigation regarding the "K2" mark and Take-Two's "2K Sports" mark.

PRIOR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Siegel practiced general civil litigation. He has experience in all phases of litigation, including trials (bench and jury), pre-trial discovery, motion practice, and appeals. Prior to attending law school, Mr. Siegel gained experience in the software industry as an information systems consultant for Andersen Consulting.

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

- Secretary, Communications Committee, PTAB Bar Association
- Treasurer, Oregon Patent Law Association
- Member, Multnomah Bar Association
- Member, Federal Circuit Bar Association
- Member, Athletic Committee (Multnomah Athletic Club)
- · Volunteer, Multnomah County Children's Representation Project