

PARTNER

## Kristin L. Cleveland

kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com



### EDUCATION

- J.D. with Honors, George Washington University School of Law, 1996
- B.A., Economics, Bard College, 1991

### BAR ADMISSIONS

- Oregon, 2000
- Hawai'i, 2012
- District of Columbia, 1997
- California, 1996

### YEAR JOINED FIRM

1999

### PRACTICE AREAS

Litigation

### TECHNOLOGIES

Software & Internet Technology

### PRACTICE AREA OVERVIEW

Ms. Cleveland's practice focuses on intellectual property litigation. She has extensive experience handling disputes for clients, from obtaining favorable results in early motion practice, to efficient management of cases through discovery, claim construction, summary judgment and trial. Ms. Cleveland has experience in several federal district courts, around the country, and has argued at the Federal Circuit. Ms. Cleveland has also served as the firm's managing partner.

### REPRESENTATIVE CASES

Cases on which Kristin has acted as counsel include the following (represented party underlined):

- *Synopsys v. Mentor*, Case No. 12-cv-06467 (N.D. Cal.): Represented an EDA company in competitor, multi-patent litigation. Won partial summary judgment that patents claimed ineligible subject matter, under Section 101. Case currently stayed pending patent office proceedings.
- *Metasearch Systems, LLC v. Priceline.com, Travelocity.com, Expedia, Orbitz, & American Express* (D. Del.): Defended group of on-line travel companies against seven patents related to metasearching. Case was stayed and then dismissed after defeating the asserted claims in patent office proceedings.
- *A Pty v. eBay*, et al.: Represented eBay on case involving email communication systems. The complaint was dismissed on a Rule 12 motion, as the Court found the asserted patent invalid as claiming ineligible subject matter, under Section 101.
- *Interval Licensing v. eBay, Netflix, Office Depot, Staples et al.* (W.D. Wash.): Represented multiple defendants in patent infringement litigation involving recommendation functionality on web commerce sites.
- *Research Corporation Technologies v. Microsoft*, Case No. 01-cv-0658 (D. Ariz.): Defended Microsoft against six asserted patents regarding halftoning technology.
- *University of Washington v. General Electric*, Case No. 10-cv-01933 (W.D. Wash.): Patent litigation involving ultrasound technology. Case stayed pending reexamination and then dismissed with prejudice.
- *Aristocrat v. IGT*, Case No. 06-cv-3717 (N.D. Cal.): Represented IGT in multi-patent case, asserting infringement by slot machines.

PARTNER

## **Kristin L. Cleveland**

kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com

### **PRIOR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE**

Prior to joining the firm, Ms. Cleveland was in the Washington D.C. office of Arnold & Porter where she worked on a variety of litigations including intellectual property, products liability, and civil and criminal antitrust.

### **PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES**

Chair, AIPLA Patent Litigation Committee's Damages Subcommittee  
Publication Staff, AIPLA Quarterly Journal, 1995 – 1996